## **UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO**

# PUBLICAÇÕES

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA CAIXA POSTAL 66318 05389-970 SÃO PAULO - SP BRASIL

**IFUSP/P-1226** 

CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM N=1 SUPER  $W_{\infty}$ -ALGEBRAS

L.O. Buffon<sup>1</sup>, D. Dalmazi<sup>2</sup> and A. Zadra<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

<sup>2</sup>UNESP, Campus de Guaratinguetá CP. 205, Guaratinguetá, São Paulo, Brazil dalmazi@grt000.uesp.ansp.br

## Classical and quantum N=1 super $W_{\infty}$ -algebras

L. O. Buffon<sup>1</sup>, D. Dalmazi<sup>2</sup> and A. Zadra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo CP 66318, 05389-970, São Paulo, Brazil lobuffon@uspif.if.usp.br, azadra@uspif.if.usp.br

<sup>2</sup>UNESP, Campus de Guaratinguetá CP 205, Guaratinguetá, São Paulo, Brazil dalmazi@grt000.uesp.ansp.br

#### Abstract

We construct higher-spin N=1 super algebras as extensions of the super Virasoro algebra containing generators for all spins  $s \geq 3/2$ . We find two distinct classical (Poisson) algebras on the phase super space. Our results indicate that only one of them can be consistently quantized.

#### 1 Introduction

The infinite-dimensional Virasoro algebra and its extensions play a fundamental rôle in the study of two-dimensional conformal field theories. In particular, the  $W_N$ -algebras [1] are non-linear algebras which contain additional generators, corresponding to fields with conformal spins s in the interval  $2 \le s \le N$ . In contradistinction, the  $W_\infty$ -type algebras [2] [3], generated by an infinite set of higher-spin operators with  $s \ge 1$  or 2, are linear algebras. They appear in the continuum formulation of two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to c=1 matter and also in some discrete multi-matrix models which are related to the c=1 theory [4]-[8]. Our interest in super  $W_\infty$  algebras was raised in a recent paper [9], where we studied the Schwinger-Dyson (S-D) equations of the N=1 supersymmetric eigenvalue model [10], which is a supersymmetric version of the hermitian one-matrix model written in terms of eigenvalues. We found a correspondence between those S-D equations and the bosonic sector of an N=1 super  $W_\infty$ -algebra. In this work, we aim to characterize the full N=1 super algebra, including bosonic and fermionic operators. In fact, we have noticed a lack of explicit formulae in the N=1 case, since the literature mostly concerns N=2 and some of its reductions [11]-[14].

We shall start from a classical realization, that is a Poisson algebra on a phase super space, with a pair of commuting and anti-commuting partners  $(x, \theta)$  and their conjugate momenta,

 $(p,\Pi)$  respectively. The "quantum" algebras, announced in the title, will be constructed by replacing momenta by differential operators,  $p \to -i\hbar\partial/\partial x$  and  $\Pi \to -i\hbar\partial/\partial \theta$ , and Poisson brackets by commutators. The Planck's constant  $\hbar$  will be used to control the classical limit  $(\hbar \to 0)$  in the usual way,  $\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot] \to \{\cdot,\cdot\}$ . The spin s of the generators will be classified [14] according to their maximal power in momenta (or derivatives): for the bosonic operators, the maximal power is  $p^{s-1}$ ; for the fermionic ones, we have  $p^{s-1/2}$ . The phase space (or differential) realization is specially suitable for higher-spin extensions, because the Jacobi identity (which is rather cumbersome to check for  $W_{\infty}$ -algebras) is already built in and it can be effectively used to derive several brackets, so that the calculations become altogether simpler.

In section 2 we describe the classical  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra, two supersymmetric extensions and a geometric interpretation. The quantization is presented in section 3 and the corresponding classical limit is discussed. Section 4 is dedicated to final comments and conclusions.

### 2 Classical N=1 super $w_{\infty}$ -algebras

In the bosonic case, the  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra is equivalent to the Poisson algebra of smooth areapreserving diffeomorphisms on a two-dimensional phase space (x, p). Following refs. [2] [3], we introduce the Poisson brackets:

$$\{f(x,p),g(x,p)\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \quad . \tag{1}$$

The area-preserving transformations, which preserve the 2-form  $\omega = dx \Lambda dp$ , correspond to canonical transformations generated by smooth functions  $\rho(x,p)$  via Poisson brackets,  $f \to f + \epsilon \{f, \rho(x,p)\}$ . The smooth functions  $\rho$  can be expanded as  $\rho = \sum_{s,n} \rho_{sn} w_n^{(s)}$ , where we take the basis

$$w_n^{(s)} = x^{n+1} p^{s-1} (2)$$

This set of functions generate the classical  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra [2]

$$\{w_m^{(r)}, w_n^{(s)}\} = [(s-1)(m+1) - (r-1)(n+1)] w_{m+n}^{(r+s-2)} , \qquad (3)$$

which can be seen as a higher-spin extension  $(s \ge 2)$  of the s = 2 Virasoro algebra generated by  $w_n^{(2)} = x^{n+1}p$ . Introducing a Grassmann-odd spin-3/2 generator  $g_n^{(3/2)}$ , the Virasoro algebra can be extended to a superconformal algebra<sup>1</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{g_m^{(3/2)}, g_n^{(3/2)}\} &= 2w_{m+n+1}^{(2)} , \\
\{g_m^{(3/2)}, w_n^{(2)}\} &= \left[ (m+1) - \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \right] g_{m+n}^{(3/2)} , \\
\{w_m^{(2)}, w_n^{(2)}\} &= (m-n)w_{m+n}^{(2)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Assuming the canonical graded Poisson brackets,  $\{x,p\}=1$ ,  $\{\theta,\Pi\}_+=-1$ , the most general realization for  $g_n^{(3/2)}$  and  $w_n^{(2)}$ , which is compatible with the infinitesimal conformal transformations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Throughout this paper, we shall consider the Neveu-Schwarz sector of the superconformal algebra.

$$\delta x = \{x, \epsilon w_n^{(2)} + \alpha g_n^{(3/2)}\} = \epsilon x^{n+1} + \alpha \theta x^{n+1} \quad , \tag{5}$$

$$\delta\theta = \{\theta, \epsilon w_n^{(2)} + \alpha g_n^{(3/2)}\} = \epsilon \frac{(n+1)}{2} x^n \theta + \alpha x^{n+1} \quad , \tag{6}$$

and with the algebra (4), is given by2:

$$g_n^{(3/2)}(\lambda) = x^{n+1}(\theta p - \Pi) + 2\lambda(n+1)x^n\theta$$
 , (7)

$$w_n^{(2)}(\lambda) = x^{n+1}p + (n+1)x^n \left(\lambda + \frac{\theta\Pi}{2}\right) , \qquad (8)$$

where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary real constant.

To include higher-spin generators and extend the super Virasoro algebra (4), we make the following assumptions:

- i) The lowest spin is s = 3/2.
- ii) There exists a fermionic generator with spin s = 5/2.
- iii) The Poisson algebra of fermionic generators must obey the rule:

$$\{g^{(r)}, g^{(s)}\} \propto w^{(r+s-1)} + \text{lower spins}$$
.

iv) Each generator  $g_n^{(s)}$  is characterized by two indices: s corresponds to its spin, and n to its conformal dimension (the eigenvalue of  $L_0 = w_0^{(2)}$ ).

We try the most general Ansatz for the next-spin generator,  $g_n^{(5/2)}$ , in agreement with the assumptions i)-iv), such that the algebra with  $g_n^{(3/2)}$  gets closed:

$$g_{m-1}^{(5/2)} = x^m \theta p^2 + c_m x^m p \Pi + d_m x^{m-1} \Pi + e_m x^{m-2} \theta \quad . \tag{9}$$

In order to calculate the arbitrary constants  $c_m, d_m, e_m$  we verify that:

$$\{g_{n-1}^{(3/2)}, g_{m-1}^{(5/2)}\} = (d_m + 2\lambda n c_m) w_{n+m-2}^{(2)} + (c_m - 1) x^{n+m} p^2 + R_{nm} x^{n+m-1} p \Pi \theta + S_{nm} x^{n+m-2} (\theta \Pi - 2\lambda) + T_{nm} x^{n+m-2} , \qquad (10)$$

where  $R_{nm}$ ,  $S_{nm}$ ,  $T_{nm}$  are given functions of  $n, m, c_m, d_m, e_m$ . The next step is to determine the most general linear combinations of the terms on the r.h.s. of (10) (except, of course,  $w_{n+m-2}^{(2)}$  which is already in the algebra), so that they close the algebra with  $g_n^{(3/2)}$ . We define such combinations as:

$$V_m^{(3)} = a_m x^m p^2 + f_m x^{m-1} p \Pi \theta + g_m x^{m-2} (\theta \Pi - 2\lambda) + h_m x^{m-2} . \tag{11}$$

It is easy to see that  $\{g_{n-1}^{(3/2)}, V_m^{(3)}\}$  will produce the term  $x^{n+m-1}\theta p^2$ , among others with lower spins. This term must be part of  $g_{n+m-2}^{(5/2)}$  due to the uniqueness of the spin-5/2 generator.

Indeed, if there were more than one solution for  $c_m, d_m, e_m$  for a given algebra, either the assumption i) or iii) would fail. Therefore, the closure of  $\{g_{n-1}^{(3/2)}, V_m^{(3)}\}$  imposes the following conditions:

$$((m-2n)a_m + f_m) c_{m+n-1} = 2na_m + f_m , (12)$$

$$((m-2n)a_m + f_m) d_{m+n-1} = 2 \left( \lambda n (f_m - 2(n-1)a_m) - g_m \right) , \qquad (13)$$

$$((m-2n)a_m+f_m)e_{m+n-1}=(m-2)h_m+4n\lambda^2(n+m-2)(2(n-1)a_m-f_m). (14)$$

These equations require that  $\lambda=0$  and we find two possible Ansatze for  $g_n^{(5/2)}$ , corresponding to two different algebras. All higher spins generators,  $w_n^{(s)}$   $(s\geq 2)$  and  $g_n^{(s)}$  (s>5/2), are obtained from  $s\leq 5/2$  generators. We end up with  $\lambda=0$  and two possible N=1 supersymmetric w-algebras:

Type 1: This type is generated by

$$g_n^{(k+3/2)} = x^{n+1} p^k (\theta p - \Pi) \quad ,$$
 (15)

$$w_n^{(s)} = x^{n+1}p^{s-1} + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x^np^{s-2}\theta\Pi \quad , \tag{16}$$

with the following algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{g_m^{(r)}, g_n^{(r')}\} &= 2w_{m+n+1}^{(r+r'-1)}, \\
\{g_m^{(r)}, w_n^{(s)}\} &= [(s-1)(m+1) - (r-1)(n+1)] g_{m+n}^{(r+s-2)}, \\
\{w_m^{(s)}, w_n^{(s')}\} &= [(s'-1)(m+1) - (s-1)(n+1)] w_{m+n}^{(s+s'-2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where  $r, r' = 3/2, 5/2, \cdots$  and  $s, s' = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$ . In fact, this algebra appeared in [12], in a more complicated realization.

Type 2: In this case, the generators split in four families with only even spins in the bosonic sector. The generators are given by:

$$g_n^{(2a+3/2)} = x^{n+1} p^{2a} (\theta p - \Pi)$$
 , (18)

$$\overline{g}_n^{((2a+1)+3/2)} = x^{n+1} p^{2a+1} (\theta p + \Pi) \quad , \tag{19}$$

$$w_n^{(2a+2)} = x^{n+1}p^{2a+1} + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x^np^{2a}\theta\Pi \quad , \tag{20}$$

$$k_n^{(2a+2)} = x^{n+1} p^{2a+1} \theta \Pi \quad , \tag{21}$$

with the corresponding classical algebra,

$$\begin{split} \{g_m^{(r)},g_n^{(r')}\} &= 2w_{m+n+1}^{(r+r'-1)} \\ \{g_m^{(r)},w_n^{(s)}\} &= [(s-1)(m+1)-(r-1)(n+1)] \, g_{m+n}^{(r+s-2)} \\ \{w_m^{(s)},w_n^{(s')}\} &= [(s'-1)(m+1)-(s-1)(n+1)] \, w_{m+n}^{(s+s'-2)} \\ \{\overline{g}_m^{(r)},\overline{g}_n^{(r')}\} &= -2w_{m+n+1}^{(r+r'-1)} \\ \{\overline{g}_m^{(r)},w_n^{(s)}\} &= [(s-1)(m+1)-(r-1)(n+1)] \, \overline{g}_{m+n}^{(r+s-2)} \\ \end{split},$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Actually, the most general form would be  $g_n^{(3/2)} = x^{n+1}(\theta p - \Pi) + (2\lambda n + \gamma)x^n\theta$ , but the parameter  $\gamma$  can be shifted by a canonical automorphism generated by  $\gamma \ln |x|$ . Therefore, we may take e.g.  $\gamma = 2\lambda$  with no loss of generality.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{g_m^{(r)}, \overline{g}_n^{(r')}\} &= 2\left[(r'-1)(m+1) - (r-1)(n+1)\right] k_{m+n}^{(r+r'-2)} \\
\{g_m^{(r)}, k_n^{(s)}\} &= \overline{g}_{m+n+1}^{(r+s-1)} \\
\{\overline{g}_m^{(r)}, k_n^{(s)}\} &= g_{m+n+1}^{(r+s-1)} \\
\{k_m^{(s)}, k_n^{(s')}\} &= 0 \\
\{k_m^{(s)}, w_n^{(s')}\} &= \left[(s'-1)(m+1) - (s-1)(n+1)\right] k_{m+n}^{(s+s'-2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

As far as we know, this algebra has not appeared yet in the literature and we shall call it super even  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra. We note, in passing, that the two algebras (17) and (22) have a sub-algebra in common, generated by  $g_n^{(2a+3/2)}$  and  $w_m^{(2a)}$ . This algebra is called super  $w_{\infty}$ , since its bosonic sector corresponds to the  $w_{\infty}$  truncated to even spins, i.e.  $w_{\infty}$ .

Both Poisson algebras are related to area-preserving diffeomorphisms: they preserve the 2-form  $w = dx \Lambda dp - d\Pi \Lambda d\theta$  [11]. The super  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra corresponds to transformations generated by the following kind of functions:

$$\rho_A = \phi(x + \frac{\theta \Pi}{2p}, p) + (\theta p - \Pi)\psi(x, p) \quad , \tag{23}$$

while the super even algebra is related to generating functions of the form:

$$\rho_B = p\phi(x + \frac{\theta\Pi}{2p}, p^2) + \theta\Pi p\varphi(x, p^2) + (\theta p - \Pi)\psi(x, p^2) + (\theta p + \Pi)p\eta(x, p^2) \quad . \tag{24}$$

Above,  $\phi, \varphi, \psi$  and  $\eta$  are smooth functions of two variables. These generators correspond to two different invariant sub-groups of (super)area-preserving diffeomorphisms. In fact, if  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  have the form (23), so will have  $\rho_3 = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ . An analogous result holds for functions of the type (24). We recall that, in a general basis, for arbitrary smooth functions  $\rho(x, p, \theta, \Pi)$ , one finds an N=2 super  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra (see [12] [15]).

## 3 Quantum N=1 super $W_{\infty}$ -algebra

By "quantum" algebra we mean algebra of commutators, as a quantized version of the Poisson algebras analyzed in section 2. In the bosonic case, the Virasoro algebra is generated by the differential operators

$$L_n \equiv W_n^{(2)} = -i\hbar x^{n+1} \partial \quad , \tag{25}$$

obtained from its classical counterpart  $w_n^{(2)}$  in (2) after the replacement  $p \to -i\hbar \partial$ . The set of higher spin operators

$$W_n^{(s)} = (-i\hbar)^{s-1} x^{n+1} \partial^{s-1} \quad , \quad s \ge 1 \quad , \tag{26}$$

generate the so called  $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebra, given by:

$$[W_m^{(r)}, W_n^{(s)}] = -i\hbar \sum_{k \ge 0} (-i\hbar)^k C_{mn}^{rs}(k) W_{m+n-k}^{(r+s-2-k)} , \qquad (27)$$

$$C_{mn}^{rs}(k) = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \left( \frac{\Gamma(r)}{\Gamma(r-k-1)} \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n-k+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s-k-1)} \frac{\Gamma(m+2)}{\Gamma(m-k+1)} \right) \quad . \tag{28}$$

The generator (25) can be generalized<sup>3</sup> into the form (see [13])

$$W_n^{(2)}(\lambda) = -i\hbar \left( x^{n+1}\partial + \lambda(n+1)x^n \right) . \tag{29}$$

We are interested in a basis of operators which satisfy the following condition (originally used to discover the  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra [3]):

$$[W_m^{(r)}, W_n^{(s)}] = -i\hbar \left( c_o W_{m+n}^{(r+s-2)} + c_1 W_{m+n-2}^{(r+s-4)} + \cdots \right) . \tag{30}$$

This sort of basis is convenient because the algebra can be truncated in only even-spin sub-algebras. Moreover, it admits a central extension [3].

The condition (30) restricts the possible values of the parameter  $\lambda$ . In analogy to the last section, we take an Ansatz for  $W_m^{(3)}$  and we find two solutions (in agreement with [15]):

i) If  $s \ge 1$ , we have  $\lambda = 1/2$  and the  $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebra. The first few generators are given below:

$$W_n^{(1)} = x^{n+1} ,$$

$$W_n^{(2)} = (-i\hbar) \left( x^{n+1} \partial + \frac{1}{2} (n+1) x^n \right) ,$$

$$W_n^{(3)} = (-i\hbar)^2 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^2 + (n+1) x^n \partial \right) ,$$

$$W_n^{(4)} = (-i\hbar)^3 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^3 + \frac{3}{2} (n+1) x^n \partial^2 + \frac{1}{2} n (n+1) x^{n-1} \partial \right) .$$
(31)

Higher spin operators can be obtained via commutators.

ii) If  $s \ge 2$ , one has two equivalent cases,  $\lambda = 0$  or 1. When  $\lambda = 0$  one finds a  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra, generated by:

$$W_n^{(2)} = (-i\hbar) x^{n+1} \partial ,$$

$$W_n^{(3)} = (-i\hbar)^2 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^2 + \frac{1}{2} (n+1) x^n \partial \right) ,$$

$$W_n^{(4)} = (-i\hbar)^3 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^3 + (n+1) x^n \partial^2 \right) , \text{ etc.}$$
(32)

The solution  $\lambda=1$  corresponds to an automorphism of the above generators, leading to an isomorphic  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra.

Now we present the N=1 supersymmetric extension of the  $W_{\infty}$ - algebra. First, we introduce an anti-commuting variable  $\theta$  and proceed in analogy to the classical study, by making the following assumptions:

i) The lowest spin (s = 3/2) generator [13] [14] is

$$G_n^{(3/2)} = (-i\hbar) \left( x^{n+1} (\theta \partial - \partial_\theta) + 2\lambda (n+1) x^n \theta \right) . \tag{33}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The operators  $L_n(\lambda) = -i\hbar(x^{n+1}\partial + (a+\lambda n)x^n)$  also generate the Virasoro algebra. However, the parameter a can be arbitrarily shifted by the homeomorphism  $L_n \to x^{i\hbar c} L_n x^{-i\hbar c} \Longrightarrow a \to a + c$ . Thus, we may take  $a = \lambda$ .

Together with the spin-2 operator,

$$W_n^{(2)} = (-i\hbar) \left( x^{n+1}\partial + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x^n(\theta\partial_\theta + 2\lambda) \right) , \qquad (34)$$

they generate the super Virasoro algebra:

$$[G_m^{(3/2)}, G_n^{(3/2)}] = 2i\hbar W_{m+n+1}^{(2)} ,$$

$$[G_m^{(3/2)}, W_n^{(2)}] = i\hbar \left( (m+1) - \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \right) G_{m+n}^{(3/2)} ,$$

$$[W_m^{(2)}, W_n^{(2)}] = i\hbar (m-n) W_{m+n}^{(2)} ,$$
(35)

whose classical limit coincides with the algebra (4).

ii) We assume the existence of a spin-5/2 generator, whose most general expression is:

$$G_n^{(5/2)} = (-i\hbar)^2 \left( x^{n+1} \partial(\theta \partial + c_n \partial_\theta) + d_n x^n \partial_\theta + e_n x^{n-1} \theta \right) , \qquad (36)$$

where the constants  $c_n, d_n, e_n$  must be determined.

iii) The anti-commutation algebra should obey the rule:

$$[G_m^{(r)}, G_n^{(s)}] \propto W_{m+n+1}^{(r+s-1)} + \text{lower spins}$$
 (37)

iv) Each operator  $G_n^{(s)}$  is characterized by its spin (s) and its conformal dimension (n).

Under these assumptions, we find two solutions,  $\lambda=0$  or 1/2, which are related to each other by an automorphism. Therefore, we may simply take  $\lambda=0$  and the resulting N=1 super  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra can be generated by the following basis of operators (we present the lowest spins, since higher spins can be produced by commutators):

$$\begin{split} G_{n}^{(3/2)} &= (-i\hbar)x^{n+1}(\theta\partial - \partial_{\theta}) \quad , \\ G_{n}^{(5/2)} &= (-i\hbar)^{2} \left( x^{n+1}\partial(\theta\partial + \partial_{\theta}) + (n+1)x^{n}\partial_{\theta} \right) \quad , \\ G_{n}^{(7/2)} &= (-i\hbar)^{3} \left( x^{n+1}\partial^{2}(\theta\partial - \partial_{\theta}) - 2(n+1)x^{n}\partial\partial_{\theta} - n(n+1)x^{n-1}\partial_{\theta} \right) \\ G_{n}^{(9/2)} &= (-i\hbar)^{4} \left( x^{n+1}\partial^{3}(\theta\partial + \partial_{\theta}) + 3(n+1)x^{n}\theta\partial^{3} + 3n(n+1)x^{n-1}\theta\partial^{2} \right. \\ &\quad + (n-1)n(n+1)x^{n-2}\partial_{\theta} \right) \quad , \\ W_{n}^{(2)} &= (-i\hbar) \left( x^{n+1}\partial + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x^{n}\theta\partial_{\theta} \right) \quad , \\ K_{n}^{(2)} &= (-i\hbar)^{2}x^{n+1}\partial\partial_{\theta}\theta \quad , \\ W_{n}^{(4)} &= (-i\hbar)^{3} \left( x^{n+1}\partial^{3} + \frac{3}{2}(n+1)x^{n}\partial^{2} + \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)x^{n-1}\partial \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)x^{n}\partial^{2}\partial_{\theta}\theta \right) \quad , \\ K_{n}^{(4)} &= (-i\hbar)^{4} \left( x^{n+1}\partial^{3} + (n+1)x^{n}\partial^{2} \right) \partial_{\theta}\theta \quad , \end{split}$$

$$W_n^{(6)} = (-i\hbar)^5 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^5 + \frac{5}{2} (n+1) x^n \partial^4 + 2n(n+1) x^{n-1} \partial^3 + \frac{1}{2} (n-1) n(n+1) x^{n-2} \partial^2 (1+\partial_\theta \theta) - \frac{1}{2} (n+1) x^n \partial^4 \partial_\theta \theta \right) ,$$

$$K_n^{(6)} = (-i\hbar)^6 \left( x^{n+1} \partial^5 + 2(n+1) x^n \partial^4 + n(n+1) x^{n-1} \partial^3 \right) \partial_\theta \theta .$$
(38)

We calculated various commutators (up to spin s = 6; further commutators can be obtained by means of the Jacobi identity), but we were unable to find a closed form for all structure coefficients. The lowest-spin algebra is listed below:

$$\begin{split} &[G_{m}^{(3/2)},G_{n}^{(3/2)}]=2i\hbar W_{m+n+1}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(3/2)},W_{n}^{(2)}]=i\hbar((m+1)-\frac{1}{2}(n+1))G_{m+n}^{(3/2)} \ ,\\ &[W_{m}^{(2)},W_{n}^{(2)}]=i\hbar(m-n)W_{m+n}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(5/2)},G_{n}^{(3/2)}]=i\hbar(m-3n-2)K_{m+n}^{(2)}+2(-i\hbar)^{2}(m-n)W_{m+n}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(3/2)},K_{n}^{(2)}]=-i\hbar G_{m+n+1}^{(5/2)}+(-i\hbar)^{2}(n+1)G_{m+n}^{(3/2)} \ ,\\ &[K_{m}^{(2)},K_{n}^{(2)}]=(-i\hbar)^{2}(n-m)K_{m+n}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[W_{m}^{(2)},K_{n}^{(2)}]=i\hbar(m-n)K_{m+n}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(5/2)},W_{n}^{(2)}]=i\hbar(m-\frac{3}{2}n-\frac{1}{2})G_{m+n}^{(5/2)}+(-i\hbar)^{2}n(n+1)G_{m+n-1}^{(3/2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(5/2)},K_{n}^{(2)}]=-i\hbar G_{m+n+1}^{(7/2)}+2(-i\hbar)^{2}(n+1)G_{m+n}^{(5/2)}+(-i\hbar)^{3}n(n+1)G_{m+n-1}^{(3/2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(5/2)},G_{n}^{(5/2)}]=-2i\hbar W_{m+n+1}^{(4)}-3(-i\hbar)^{2}(n(n+1)+m(m+1))K_{m+n-1}^{(2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(3/2)},W_{n}^{(4)}]=-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}(n-6m-5)G_{m+n}^{(7/2)} \ ,\\ &[G_{m}^{(3/2)},W_{n}^{(4)}]=-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}(n-6m-5)G_{m+n}^{(7/2)} \ ,\\ &+(-i\hbar)^{2}(n(n+1)-3(m+1)(m+n+1))G_{m+n-1}^{(5/2)} \ ,\\ &+(-i\hbar)^{3}\frac{1}{2}(m(m+1)(3n+2m+1)+n(n+1)(n-m-2))G_{m+n-2}^{(3/2)} \ . \end{aligned} \ \ (39)$$

We have also verified that the operators in (38) become the generators (18-21) in the classical limit (given by the associations  $-i\hbar\partial \to p$ ,  $-i\hbar\partial_\theta \to \Pi$ , when  $\hbar \to 0$ ). Therefore, we may say that the generators (38) realize a (quantum) N=1 super  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra.

Concerning the bosonic sector, composed by  $W_n^{(2s)}$  and  $K_m^{(2r)}$ , it is possible to take linear combinations and find a basis with two decoupled sub-algebras [9]. For instance, if we define

$$\widetilde{W}_{n}^{(2)} = K_{n}^{(2)} + i\hbar W_{n}^{(2)} \quad , \tag{40}$$

the resulting lowest-spin algebra becomes

$$\begin{split} [\widetilde{W}_{m}^{(2)}, \widetilde{W}_{n}^{(2)}] &= (-i\hbar)^{2} (n-m) \widetilde{W}_{m+n}^{(2)} , \\ [\widetilde{W}_{m}^{(2)}, K_{n}^{(2)}] &= 0 , \\ [K_{m}^{(2)}, K_{n}^{(2)}] &= (-i\hbar)^{2} (n-m) K_{m+n}^{(2)} . \end{split}$$

$$(41)$$

This decoupling was also verified for higher spins. The redefined  $\widetilde{W}$ -operators turn out to generate an algebra isomorphic to the even-spin sector of the bosonic  $W_{1+\infty}$ -algebra. On the other hand, the algebra of the operators  $K_n^{(2r)}$  is isomorphic to the even-spin subalgebra of the  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra. Therefore, the bosonic sector of the super algebra generated by (38) realizes a  $\left(W_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus W_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$ -algebra [9] [13] [14].

It is tempting to call "N=1 super  $\left(W_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus W_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$ -algebra" the one generated by the whole set of operators in (38). We believe this is acceptable at the quantum level, i.e. as long as  $\hbar \neq 0$ . However, in the classical limit  $(\hbar \to 0)$  the transformation (40) does not give independent generators and the bosonic sector does not split in two decoupled sub-algebras. This implies that the Poisson algebra generated by (18-21) should not be called a "classical super  $\left(w_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus w_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$ " – we had better keep the name N=1 super even  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra.

# 4 Final remarks, conclusion and open questions

We have constructed the N=1 supersymmetric extensions of the  $W_{\infty}$ -algebras. At the classical level, we found two Poisson algebras, the super  $w_{\infty}$  and the super even  $w_{\infty}$ . In the quantum case, we found only one consistent algebra, denominated super  $\left(W_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus W_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$ . Its classical limit coincides with the super even  $w_{\infty}$ . The algebra  $\left(W_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus W_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$  was first observed in [12] as a truncation of a N=2 super  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra. We stress that we obtained it in a constructive way, without any embedding in higher algebras.

Although we did not find a general expression for all the quantum operators, we noticed that the available generators can be rewritten in reduced forms. For instance, the bosonic K-operators in (38) can be expressed as

$$K_n^{(2r)} = (-i\hbar)^{2r} \partial^{r-1} x^{n+1} \partial^r \partial_\theta \theta = p^{r-1} x^{n+1} p^r \Pi \theta \qquad (42)$$

The fermionic operators in (38) can be written as linear combinations of

$$\widetilde{G}_{n}^{(s+1/2)} = (-i\hbar)^{s} \left( \partial^{s-1} x^{n+1} \theta \partial + (-)^{s} x^{n+1} \partial^{s-1} \partial_{\theta} \right) = p^{s-1} x^{n+1} \theta p + (-)^{s} x^{n+1} p^{s-1} \Pi \quad . \quad (43)$$

Therefore, we expect the quantum operators to correspond to some special ordering of the classical generators. If we could understand this ordering we might eventually find a closed form for the complete algebra.

We have shown how the super even  $w_{\infty}$ -algebra can be obtained from the quantum super  $\left(W_{\frac{\infty}{2}} \oplus W_{\frac{1+\infty}{2}}\right)$  by means of a suitable limit  $(\hbar \to 0)$ . It is natural to ask whether there is any quantum super  $W_{\infty}$ -algebra whose classical limit is the super  $w_{\infty}$  given by eqs.(15-17). We do not have an answer to that question yet. It would also be interesting to study the possible central extensions [3] of these quantum algebras.

The work of L.O.B. and A.Z. and part of the work of D.D. were supported by CNPq and Fapesp.

#### References

- [1] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Teor. Matt. Fiz. 65 (1985) 347.
- [2] I. Bakas, Phys. Lett. **B228** (1989) 57.
- [3] C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990) 173.
- [4] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A6 (1991) 1385.
   R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B348 (1991) 435.
- [5] A. Mironov and B. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B252 (1990) 47.
   L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez and J. Lacki, Phys. Lett. B253 (1991) 56.
- [6] H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, Phys. Lett. B255 (1991) 202.
- [7] H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991) 233.
- [8] L. Bonora and C.S. Xiong, Phys. Lett. **B347** (1995) 41; Nucl. Phys. **B434** (1995) 408.
- [9] L.O. Buffon, D. Dalmazi and A. Zadra, hep-th/9604184.
- [10] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, H. Itoyama, J.L. Mañes and A. Zadra, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A7 (1992) 5337.
- [11] E. Sezgin and R. Sokatchev, Phys. Lett. **B227** (1989) 103.
- [12] C.N. Pop and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990) 21.
- [13] E. Bergshoeff, C.N. Pope, L.J. Romans, E. Sezgin and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. B245 (1990) 447.
- [14] E. Bergshoeff, M. Vasiliev and B. de Wit, Phys. Lett. B256 (1991) 199.
- [15] E. Bergshoeff, B. de Wit and M. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. **B366** (1991) 315.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In the quantum case, we may choose a unit system where  $\hbar = 1$ .