# Instituto de Física
# Universidade de São Paulo

## Coulomb-like mesoscopic conductance fluctuations in a 2D electron gas near the filling factor ν=1/2

Kvon, Z.D.; Olshanetskii, E.B.
*Institute of Semiconductor Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk, Rússia*

Gusev, G.M.
*Instituto de Física da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brasil*

Portal, J.C.; Maude, D.K.
*CNRS-LCMI, Grenoble, França*

**Publicação IF - 1371/99**

29. Hershfield S, Davies J H, Wilkins J W *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 3720 (1991); *Phys. Rev. B* **46** 7046 (1992)
30. Meir Y, Wingreen N S, Lee P A *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 2601 (1993); Wingreen N S, Meir Y *Phys. Rev. B* **49** 11040 (1994)
31. Caldeira A O, Leggett A J *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **149** 374 (1983)
32. Eckern U, Schön G, Ambegaokar V *Phys. Rev. B* **30** 6419 (1984)
33. König J, Schoeller H, Schön G *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 1715 (1996); König J et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **54** 16820 (1996)
34. Hettler M H, Schoeller H *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** 4907 (1995)
35. Pohjola T et al. submitted to *Europhys. Lett.*

# Coulomb-like mesoscopic conductance fluctuations in a 2D electron gas near the filling factor ν = 1/2

Z D Kvon, E B Olshanetskiǐ, G M Gusev, J C Portal, D K Maude

More than a decade after its discovery, the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) still remains in the focus of attention in solid state physics. Recently a new approach to the FQHE, the composite fermion theory, has been proposed [1, 2]. According to this approach strongly interacting 2D electrons at a fractional filling of the first Landau level can be viewed as a gas of novel weakly interacting quasiparticles, the so called composite fermions (CF), that have a renormalized effective mass and are expected to exhibit a number of semiclassical properties. The FQHE for electrons is then explained as an IQHE for CF moving in an effective magnetic field $B_{\mathrm{eff}} = B - B_{1/2}$, where $B$ is the applied magnetic field and $B_{1/2}$ — the magnetic field corresponding to the half filling of the first Landau level. It is noteworthy that all the main predictions of the CF theory have been shown to be basically true in numerous and diverse experiments [3–10]. The described approach to the FQHE raises a number of questions concerning quantum interference and, in particular, the nature and properties of universal conductance fluctuations (UCF) at a fractional Landau level filling. Recently a theory has been proposed [11] which deals with UCF in the presence of a random magnetic field. The results of this theory have been used to describe the behaviour of a gas of CF in a system with random potential fluctuations. The authors of Ref. [11] come to the conclusion that in the case of CF the Fermi energy dependence of UCF is radically different from that of electrons at $B = 0$. The gate voltage dependence of CF conductance fluctuations at $\nu = 1/2$ is predicted to be similar in some respect to aperiodical Coulomb-like oscillations with an effective charge $e/2$.

It appears that the first observation of conductance fluctuations in magnetoresistance dependencies in the vicinity of $\nu = 1/2$ was made in a ballistic microbridge in Ref. [12]. However the absence of any analysis of these fluctuations in Ref. [12] makes it difficult to completely exclude the possibility of these fluctuations being some kind of noise. A more detailed experimental study of the magnetoresistance conductance fluctuations in the vicinity of $\nu = 1/2$ was reported recently in Ref. [13]. The authors performed a comparison analysis of these fluctuations and of the UCF around $B = 0$ and came to the conclusion that the fluctuations observed at $\nu = 1/2$ could indeed be described as UCF of CF. The latter experiment, however, was limited to the study of magnetoresistance dependences and lacked measure-

ments of CF conductance versus Fermi energy necessary for testing the important theoretical prediction mentioned above [11].

In the present work we have investigated the behaviour of mesoscopic samples in the vicinity of the half filling of the first Landau level. Both the magnetic field and gate voltage dependencies of mesoscopic fluctuations near $\nu = 1/2$ were studied. It was found that in contrast to the case of mesoscopic fluctuations in weak magnetic fields, in the vicinity of $\nu = 1/2$ there exists a special relation between the $R_{xx}$ fluctuations in the resistance versus magnetic field and in the resistance versus gate voltage dependencies. Namely the ratio of the correlation magnetic field to the correlation electron density is found to be equal with fairly good precision to $2\Phi_0$ (where $\Phi_0$ is the magnetic flux quantum) i.e to be determined solely by the Landau level filling factor. In our opinion this experimental evidence corroborates the prediction of Ref. [11] and mesoscopic conductance fluctuations in the vicinity of $\nu = 1/2$ can indeed be viewed as Coulomb-like aperiodical fluctuations with a corresponding effective charge $e/2$.

Our two experimental samples were microbridges with lithographical length $L = 2$ μm and width $W = 1$ μm. The actual width of the microbridges determined from Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations in weak magnetic fields is $(0.3–0.5)$ μm. The microbridges were fabricated by means of electron lithography and plasma chemical etching on top of a 2D electron gas in an AlGaAs/GaAs heterolayer with a spacer thickness of 60 nm. The electron density and electron mobility in the original heterolayers were $(1–2) \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $(2–4) \times 10^5$ cm$^2$ (V·s)$^{-1}$ respectively. The microbridges were etched in the middle between the voltage probes of a conventional rectangular Hall bar with dimensions $100 \times 50$ μm$^2$. At the final stage of preparation the structures were covered by an Au/Ni metal gate. The schematic top view of the structures is shown in the inset to Fig. 1. The measurements were carried out at temperatures of 30 mK – 4.2 K in magnetic fields up to 15 T. The alternating driving current of frequency 3–6 Hz was kept as low as $(0.5–1)$ nA to preclude electron heating.

Figure 1 shows a typical $R_{xx}(B)$ curve for sample I at a gate voltage of +350 mV. One can see distinct minima corresponding to $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 2$ and a weaker minimum at $B = 8.5$ T corresponding to $\nu = 2/3$ in the microbridge. The fact that $R_{xx}$ does not become zero at $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 2$ testifies



**Figure 1.** Sample I, $R_{xx}(B)$; $T = 30$ mK, $V_g = 350$ mV. Inset: schematic top view of the experimental samples; the region under gate is hatched.

that there are potential barriers at the entrances to the microbridge. The positions of the minima $v = 1$ and $v = 2$ provide a means of determining the electron density in the microbridge and its dependence on the gate voltage. In the electron density range studied $(1-2) \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ this dependence for samples I and II is linear, the transport regime is metallic and the values of $dN_s/dV_g$ for the two samples are $5 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ mV$^{-1}$ and $5.6 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ mV$^{-1}$ respectively.

In the vicinity of $v = 1/2$ in Fig. 1 there is a deep minimum that occurs at the same magnetic field as the $v = 2/3$ minimum in the macroscopic part of the sample. The topology of our samples may be responsible for this feature in magnetoresistance since, as one can see in the inset to Fig. 1, the properties of the macroscopic regions at the entrances to the bridge can influence the results of transport measurements in the microstructure.

Apart from the above-mentioned magnetoresistance features, in the vicinity of $v = 1/2$ in Fig. 1 there are also fluctuations of $R_{xx}$ that are similar to those reported in Ref. [13]. These fluctuations can be seen in more detail in Figs 2 and 3 that show a series of $R_{xx}(B)$ and $R_{xx}(V_g)$ dependencies taken at different temperatures in the vicinity of $v = 1/2$ for small variations of the magnetic field and gate voltage.

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate the good reproducibility of the $R_{xx}$ fluctuations at different measurements. There was as good a reproducibility in all our measurements provided the

state of the sample did not change. At the same time the pattern of the fluctuation could become completely different following illumination or warming of the sample. Similar behaviour has been known for mesoscopic resistance fluctuations of electrons in weak magnetic fields and is described in detail in a number of publications [14, 15]. As the temperature increases the amplitude of the fluctuations decreases and at $T > 400$ mK they die out completely. Figure 4 shows the temperature dependence of the average amplitude of the fluctuations. One can see that the average amplitude and its variation with temperature are practically the same for the fluctuations in magnetic field and gate voltage dependencies of $R_{xx}$. At the same time the temperature dependence itself is different from that observed for mesoscopic fluctuations of electrons in weak magnetic fields [15] where in one-dimensional systems the average amplitude changes with temperature as $T^{-1/2}$. In Figure 4 a very weak temperature dependence observed at temperatures lower than 100 mK changes to a much stronger one $\Delta R_{xx} \propto T^{-(1\pm0.5)}$ at higher temperatures. Such a temperature dependence might be attributed to some unusual behaviour of the CF coherence length which we cannot at present account for. We notice that for samples with considerably higher disorder no such anomaly in the fluctuation amplitude temperature dependence has been reported [13].



Figure 4. Average fluctuation amplitude dependence on temperature derived from $R_{xx}(B)$ and $R_{xx}(V_g)$ curves in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.



Figure 2. Sample I, $R_{xx}(B)$ near $v = 1/2$ for several temperatures.



Figure 3. Sample I, $R_{xx}(V_g)$ near $v = 1/2$ for several temperatures.

The relation between mesoscopic fluctuations in magnetic field and gate voltage dependencies of composite fermion resistance was first investigated theoretically in Ref. [11]. The composite fermions are quasiparticles with charge $e$, each carrying two magnetic flux quanta attached to it [1, 2]. If, then, in a fixed external magnetic field $B$ one changes the electron density, it will result in a corresponding change in $B_{1/2}$ and, therefore, in a change of the effective magnetic field $B_{\text{eff}} = B - B_{1/2}$ experienced by CF. As with bare electrons, the typical period of the magnetoresistance mesoscopic fluctuations of CF is determined by the ratio $\Phi_0/S$ [16], where $S$ is the sample area and $\Phi_0$ is the magnetic flux quantum. Hence, at $v = 1/2$ a variation of gate voltage that results in one electron more or one electron less under the gate should be accompanied by approximately two fluctuations in the $R_{xx}(V_g)$ dependence. In other words if the variation of gate voltage is converted into the variation of electron density, the ratio of the correlation magnetic field $B_c$ to the correlation electron density $N_{sc}$ is expected to

be $2\Phi_0$:

$$\frac{B_c}{N_{sc}} = 2\Phi_0 . \qquad (1)$$

As regards the feasibility of the experimental study of relation (1) it should be noted that it is likely to remain valid even at $T > 0$. Indeed, according to what is said above, a gate voltage variation resulting in a change of electron density in the sample at $v = 1/2$ is only an alternative means of changing the effective magnetic field of CF. In that sense mesoscopic fluctuations of CF in magnetoresistance should be equivalent to those in gate voltage dependencies. It may be expected therefore that at $T > 0$ both $B_c$ and $N_{sc}$ would have the same temperature dependence and ratio (1) would not change.

The experimental testing of Eqn (1) was the aim of the present work. For this purpose several different states of sample I and one state of sample II were investigated. The state of a sample could be altered either by LED illumination or by changing the electron density with the gate voltage. By means of the latter the microbridge resistance at $v = 1/2$ could be varied over the range $30 - 60$ kΩ. In a state thus obtained the dependencies $R_{xx}(B)$ and $R_{xx}(V_g)$ were measured over a narrow interval of filling factor ($\sim 0.1$) around $v = 1/2$. The values of $B_c$ and $N_{sc}$ derived from these dependencies were then used to determine ratio (1) for a given state and sample. The values of $B_c/N_{sc}$ obtained in this manner are shown in Table 1. The observed scatter of the values may be due to the error associated with the procedure of separation of mesoscopic fluctuations from the background of smooth non-monotonic components. As is seen in Figs 1 – 3 these components remain unaltered at temperatures where the mesoscopic fluctuations are already completely suppressed and therefore we infer that they must have some different origin. On the whole the experimental values of $B_c/N_{sc}$ for the two samples and for different states of sample I agree closely with the theoretically predicted value $2\Phi_0$ and on the strength of this evidence we conclude that ratio (1) for CF is indeed universal.

**Table 1.**

| Sample | $T$, mK | $R_{1/2}$, kΩ | $B_c/N_{sc}$, $\Phi_0$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| I | 30 | 23 | 2.38 |
| I | 30 | 23 | 1.85 |
| I | 30 | 24 | 2.37 |
| I | 30 | 27 | 2.04 |
| I | 40 | 57 | 2.3 |
| I | 100 | 27 | 2.18 |
| II | 40 | 50 | 1.83 |

If the notion of a Fermi surface is applicable to CF, then apart from the $R_{xx}$ fluctuations in the gate voltage dependencies described in Ref. [11] there might be still another and more conventional type of mesoscopic fluctuation near $v = 1/2$ resulting from variation of the CF wavelength. The contribution of this latter mechanism to ratio (1) has not been analyzed in Ref. [11] but it seems likely that it would be sensitive to the state and parameters of a sample. Since no such sensitivity was observed in our experiment we will not discuss the second mechanism in this paper though it should be taken into account in further investigations of the problem. In weak magnetic fields, where for bare electrons only the second mechanism works, ratio (1) in our samples is about an order of magnitude less than for fluctuations at $v = 1/2$.

Results in some sense similar to those described here, were recently reported in Ref. [17] where at $v = 1/3$ the resonance tunneling via a state bound to an antidot in the centre of a microstructure was studied. The ratio of the resonance tunneling periods in magnetic field and in gate voltage was found to be $3\Phi_0$. So in two different physical situations (the 1/3 state of the FQHE in Ref. [17] and a gapless energy spectrum at $v = 1/2$ in our case) the ratio of $B_c$ to $N_{sc}$ is found to be equal to the magnetic flux quantum multiplied by the inverse of the filling factor. In our opinion this fact is not a coincidence and can be taken to mean that in the conditions of FQHE the interference effects are determined by the value of the filling factor regardless of the character of the energy spectrum. Yet further experimental and theoretical studies are needed to prove this conclusively.

In conclusion we have investigated mesoscopic resistance fluctuations in electron microstructures in the vicinity of $v = 1/2$. For the first time mesoscopic fluctuations of both the gate voltage and magnetic field dependencies of $R_{xx}$ have been studied. It was established that the fluctuations in these two types of dependencies have the same average amplitude and temperature dependence. The latter was found to be different from that observed in Ref. [13]. We have proved experimentally the theoretically predicted [11] universal relation between these two types of fluctuations, according to which the ratio of the correlation magnetic field to the correlation electron density should be equal to the magnetic flux quantum multiplied by the inverse filling factor.

# References

1. Jain J K *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** 199 (1989)
2. Halperin B I, Lee P A, Read N *Phys. Rev. B* **47** 7312 (1993)
3. Willett R L et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 3846 (1993)
4. Kang W et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 3850 (1993)
5. Coleridge P T et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **52** R11603 (1995)
6. Du R R et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 2944 (1993)
7. Leadley D R et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 1906 (1994)
8. Goldman V J, Su B, Jain J K *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 2065 (1994)
9. Bayot V et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **52** R8621 (1995)
10. Liang C-T et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **53** R7596 (1996)
11. Fal'ko V I *Phys. Rev. B* **50** 17406 (1994)
12. Simmons J A et al. *Phys. Rev. B* **44** 12933 (1991)
13. Gusev G M et al. *Solid State Commun.* **97** 83 (1996)
14. Gusev G M et al. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1** 6507 (1989)
15. Gusev G M, Kvon Z D, Ol'shanetskiĭ E B *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **101** 1366 (1992) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **74** 735 (1992)]
16. Lee P A, Stone A D, Fukuyama H *Phys. Rev. B* **35** 1039 (1987)
17. Goldman V J, Su B *Science* **267** 1010 (1995)