

Instituto de Física Universidade de São Paulo

BOLTZMANN TRANSPORT EQUATION AND THE ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL CONDUCTIVITIES OF METALLIC BULKS

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 66.318 05315-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil

Publicação IF 1671/2012

09/05/2012

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO Instituto de Física Cidade Universitária Caixa Postal 66.318 05315-970 - São Paulo - Brasil

BOLTZMANN TRANSPORT EQUATION AND THE ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL CONDUCTIVITIES OF METALLIC BULKS

M.Cattani

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brasil <u>mcattani@if.usp.br</u>

Abstract.

Our goal is to write a didactical article to graduate and postgraduate students of Physics showing first how to deduce the Boltzmann transport equation and second how these equations can be used to predict the thermal and electrical conductivities of metallic bulks. This formalism is also applied to explain the Thermoelectric Effects known as Seebeck, Thomson and Peltier effects.

I) Introduction.

To analyze the electrical and thermal conductivities of an aggregate we need first to deduce the Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) which is the basic tool to study the non-equilibrium phenomena. To obtain this equation is necessary first to deduce the Liouville theorem. This is done in Section 1. In Section 2 we deduce, using the Liouville theorem, the BTE. In Section 3, as an application of the BTE, we calculate the electric and thermal conductivities of metallic bulks. In Section 4 we estimate the thermo-electric effects named Seebeck, Thomson t and Peltier effects.

1) Liouville Theorem.

There are many excellent basic texts where the students can find the deduction of the Liouville theorem and of the Boltzmann transport equations and the application of these to study the thermoelectric conduction. However, with the intention to give only a few number of references, articles and books, we will mention here only three classical books written by Sommerfeld,¹ Huang² and Kubo.³ However, to pay tribute to Prof. Jun'ichi Osada the above mentioned problems will be developed following the lectures⁴ on Statistical Physics ministered at 1970 by this professor at the Institute of Physics of the USP.

So, let us consider a system composed by a very large number N of "almost free" particles. By "almost free" we mean that: (1) there is an external force field that changes very slowly with the time in a such a way that the particles orbits do not change abruptly; (2) Besides the external field there are some centers of intense forces called "scattering centers". The interaction range with these centers is very short and the centers density is so small that between two collisions with these centers the

particles can move freely being under the influence only of the external forces mentioned above.

For equilibrium states, according to the Statistical Mechanics,¹⁻³ the number dN of free particles with linear momentum between \mathbf{p} and $\mathbf{p} + d\mathbf{p}$ and g internal degrees of freedom, in a box with volume V at the temperature T is given by

$$dN(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = g \left(V d\mathbf{p} / 2\pi\hbar \right)^3 f_o(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$
(1.1),

where $f_o(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = f_o(\mathbf{p}) = f_o(\varepsilon) = [\exp(\varepsilon - \mu)/kT \pm 1]^{-1}$ is the equilibrium distribution function for fermions (+) and bosons (-), $\varepsilon = \mathbf{p}^2/2m$, m the particle mass and μ the chemical potential of the system. According to (1.1) the number of particles in a small region of space d**q** is

$$d\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) = g \left(d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}/2\pi\hbar \right)^3 f_o(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$
(1.2),

where $d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p}/(2\pi\hbar)^3$ is the number of cinematic quantum states inside the element of volume $d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p}$ of the phase space ⁴ and $f_o(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$ is the average number of particles by quantum states. The total number N of particles is, using (1.2), given by $N = g \int \int d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p} f_o(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})/(2\pi\hbar)^3$.

When the external field is non-uniform and time dependent the distribution function is now written as $f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},t)$ and (1.1) becomes given by

$$dN(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},t) = g \left(d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}/2\pi\hbar \right)^3 f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},t)$$
(1.3).

Let us show that the phase space element of volume is time independent, that is, $(d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p})_t = (d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p})_{t+dt}$. Indeed, putting

$$(d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p})_{t+dt} = \prod_{i=x,y,z} \left\{ (dq_i dp_i)_t + (dq_i^* dp_i + dq_i dp_i^*) dt \right\}$$
$$= \prod_{i=x,y,z} (dq_i dp_i)_t \left\{ 1 + (\partial q_i^* / \partial q_i + \partial p_i^* / \partial p_i)_t dt \right\}$$
(1.4),

where $q^* = dq/dt$ and $p^* = dp/dt$. Taking into account the Hamilton's equations⁴ $p_i^* = -\partial H/\partial q_i$ and $q_i^* = \partial H/\partial p_i$ we get $\partial q_i^*/\partial q_i + \partial p_i^*/\partial p_i = 0$. In this way, from (1.4) we verify that

$$(\mathbf{dqdp})_{t} = (\mathbf{dqdp})_{t+dt}.$$
 (1.5),

which is a result known as "Liouville theorem"(LT): "the phase space volume is time invariant" (see also Goldstein⁵).

2) Boltzmann Transport Equation.

Combining the LT with a plausible fact, the conservation of the number of particles of the system, we can write:

$$f_t = f_{t+dt} = f_t + dt \left\{ \sum_i p_i^* (\partial f / \partial p_i) + \sum_i q_i^* (\partial f / \partial q_i) + \partial f / \partial t \right\}_t$$

from which we obtain $\partial f/\partial t$ due to the temporal variation of the external force:

$$(\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{external force}} = -(d\mathbf{p}/dt)\cdot \operatorname{grad}_{p} f - (d\mathbf{q}/dt)\cdot \operatorname{grad}_{q} f$$
 (2.1).

Let us assume that besides the external field, there are intense centers of force, localized in very small regions of the space. Due to collisions with these centers the (\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) values of the particles in the phase space are abruptly changed, that is, $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{q}')$ and vice-versa. The distribution function modifications $\partial f/\partial t$ due to these collisions is expressed in terms of the transition probabilities $\omega(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}')$ per unit of time from the quantum state **p** to the state **p**' as follows:

$$(\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{collisions}} = A - B \qquad (2.2),$$

$$A = \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \omega(\mathbf{p}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{p}') [1 - \gamma f(\mathbf{p})]$$

$$B = \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \omega(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}') f(\mathbf{p}) [1 - \gamma f(\mathbf{p}')],$$

where

and

with $\gamma = 0$ for bosons and $\gamma = 1$ for fermions. In (2.2) we have written for simplicity $f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) = f(\mathbf{p})$. So, the total time variation df/dt due to the external force and the scattering centers is given by

$$df/dt = (\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{external force}} + (\partial f/\partial t)_{\text{collisions}}$$
$$= - (d\mathbf{p}/dt) \cdot \text{grad}_{p} f - (d\mathbf{q}/dt) \cdot \text{grad}_{q} f + A - B \qquad (2.3).$$

Equation (2.3) is called Boltzmann Transport Equation (BTE). For stationary systems, df/dt = 0, we get

$$(d\mathbf{p}/dt)\cdot \operatorname{grad}_{p} f + (d\mathbf{q}/dt)\cdot \operatorname{grad}_{q} f = A - B$$
 (2.4).

Note that (2.4) does not take into account the mutual interactions between the N particles. However, this effect can be included, if we want, in the $\omega(\mathbf{p}\rightarrow\mathbf{p}')$ calculation.

3) Electrical and Thermal Conductivities of Metals.

Let us calculate the electrical (σ) and thermal (χ) conductivities of metal in bulk assuming the simple model: (a) it is composed by a large number N of almost-free electrons and by a rigid crystalline array; (b) the electrons move independently one of the other and being only under the influence of the external field; (c) when they came very close to one point of the array ("scattering center") they are scattered elastically. The N electrons are in the conducting band⁶ with energy ε between $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_F$, where $\varepsilon_F = 3^{2/3} \pi^{4/3} (\hbar^2/2m)(N/V)^{2/3}$ is the Fermi energy of the electrons in the volume V. Note that $\mu = \varepsilon_F$ and that $p_F^2 = 2m\varepsilon_F$.

Now, let us assume that the electric field and that the temperature gradient is along the x-axis, that is, $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{i}$ and $\operatorname{grad}_q \mathbf{f} = (\partial T/\partial x) (\partial f/\partial T)\mathbf{i}$ where \mathbf{i} is the unit vector along the x-axis. Assuming also that the system is in a stationary state, putting $d\mathbf{p}/dt = -e\mathbf{E}\mathbf{i}$ the first and the second terms of (2.4), are given by $-e\mathbf{E}(\partial f/\partial \mathbf{p}_x)$ and $(\mathbf{p}_x/\mathbf{m})(\partial T/\partial x)(\partial f/\partial T)$, respectively.

To write the right hand side of (2.4) we must take into account the electronic scattering produced by the N* fixed points of the crystalline array. Assuming that the scattering are elastic the transition probabilities ω per unit of time are given by⁷

$$\omega(\mathbf{p'} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}) = \omega(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p'}) = (p/m)(N^*/V)(d\sigma/d\Omega) \ d\Omega$$
(3.1),

 $|\mathbf{p}| = |\mathbf{p}'|$ and $d\sigma/d\Omega = d\sigma(\varepsilon,\theta)/d\Omega$ is the elastic electron scattering cross section due to collisions with the fixed points of the crystalline array; θ is the scattering angle between \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' and $d\Omega = \sin\theta \ d\theta \ d\phi$. Since the collision are elastic we have $|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'| = 2p\sin(\theta/2)$. The electron diffraction described by $d\sigma(\varepsilon,\theta)/d\Omega$ is due, for instance, to phonons, impurities and bulk imperfections.⁶

Taking into account (2.4) we see that A– B given by (2.2) for fermions, that is, with $\gamma = 1$, is written as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \omega(\mathbf{p}' \rightarrow \mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{p}') [1 - f(\mathbf{p})] - \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \omega(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}') f(\mathbf{p}) [1 - f(\mathbf{p}')]$$
$$= (p/m)(N^*/V) \int d\Omega (d\sigma/d\Omega) [f(\mathbf{p}') - f(\mathbf{p})]$$
(3.2).

As the external field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{i}$ and temperature gradient grad $\mathbf{T} = (\partial T/\partial \mathbf{x})\mathbf{i}$ are along the x-axis we put

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = f_o(\varepsilon) + p_x \chi(\varepsilon) \text{ and } f(\mathbf{p'}) = f_o(\varepsilon) + p_x' \chi(\varepsilon)$$
 (3.3)

where $p' = p_x$ and $p_x' = p' \cos\theta = p_x \cos\theta$. In this way, substituting (3.3) at (3.2) the right member of (3.2) is written as

 $-(pp_{x}/m\ell(\varepsilon)) \chi(\varepsilon)$ (3.4),

where

$$\ell(\varepsilon)^{-1} = (2\pi N^*/V) \int d(\cos\theta) (1 - \cos\theta) [d\sigma(\varepsilon, \theta)/d\Omega].$$

The parameter $\ell(\epsilon)$ which has length dimension is named "mean free path". Finally, taking into account the above results the BTE (2.4) becomes

$$-eE(\partial f/\partial p_x) + (p_x/m)(\partial f/\partial T)(\partial T/\partial x) = -(pp_x/m\ell(\varepsilon)) \chi(\varepsilon)$$
(3.5).

When E and $\partial f/\partial T$ are small perturbations we can solve (3.5) in a first order approximation putting $f = f_0$ at the left side of the (3.5) obtaining

$$\chi(\varepsilon) = (\ell/p) \{ eE + [\varepsilon/T - (\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T)] (\partial T/\partial x) \} (\partial f_o/\partial \varepsilon)$$
(3.6).

Since for electrons g =2 the electric flux J_x (charge/m² s) and the thermal flux Q_x (kinetic energy/m² s) along the x-axis are given, respectively, by

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{x}} = -2\mathbf{e} \int d\mathbf{p} / (2\pi\hbar)^3 \left(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathbf{m} \right) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$$
(3.7)

and

$$Q_{x} = 2 \int d\mathbf{p} / (2\pi\hbar)^{3} \epsilon (p_{x}/m) f(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$
(3.8).

Substituting (3.3) and (3.6) into (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain, respectively,

$$J_{x} = e \left[eE - (\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T)(\partial T/\partial x) \right] D^{(1)} + (e/T)(\partial T/\partial x) D^{(2)}$$
(3.9),

$$Q_x = -\left[eE - (\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T)(\partial T/\partial x)\right] D^{(2)} - (1/T)(\partial T/\partial x)D^{(3)}$$
(3.10),

where

$$\mathbf{D}^{(s)} = -\mathbf{C} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\varepsilon \, \varepsilon^{s} \, \ell(\varepsilon) \, (\partial \mathbf{f}_{o} / \partial \varepsilon) \tag{3.11},$$

 $C = [16\pi m/3(2\pi\hbar)^3]$ and s = 1,2 and 3. The functions D(s) are given by (see Appendix of ref.4)

$$\begin{split} D^{(1)} &= C \left[\mu \ell + (\pi^2/6)(kT)^2 \left(2\ell' + \mu \ell'' \right) \right] \epsilon = \epsilon_F \\ D^{(2)} &= C \left[\mu^2 \ell + (\pi^2/6)(kT)^2 \left(2\ell + 4\mu \ell' + \mu^2 \ell'' \right) \right] \epsilon = \epsilon_F \\ D^{(3)} &= C \left[\mu^3 \ell + (\pi^2/6)(kT)^2 \left(6\mu \ell + 6\mu^2 \ell' + \mu^3 \ell'' \right) \right] \epsilon = \epsilon_F, \end{split}$$

where ℓ , $\ell' = d\ell/d\epsilon$ and $\ell'' = d^2\ell/d\epsilon^2$, seen above, are calculated at $\epsilon = \mu = \epsilon_F$.

In many cases¹⁻⁴ we can put, in a good approximation, $D^{(1)} \approx C \mu \ell(\epsilon_F)$, $D^{(2)} \approx C \mu^2 \ell(\epsilon_F)$ and $D^{(3)} \approx C \mu^3 \ell(\epsilon_F)$. In these conditions putting $\partial T / \partial x = 0$ in (3.9) the *electrical conductivity* σ , defined by $J_x = \sigma E$, is given by

$$\sigma = J_x/E = e^2 D^{(1)} \approx e^2 C \mu \ell(\epsilon_F) = e^2 n \, \ell(\epsilon_F)/p_F \qquad (3.12),$$

taking into account that $p_F^2 = 2m\epsilon_F$, $\epsilon_F = 3^{2/3} \pi^{4/3} (\hbar^2/2m)(N/V)^{2/3}$ and that n is the density of free electrons $n = N/V = 2 p_F^3/(3\pi^2\hbar^3)$. Similarly, the *thermal conductivity* κ defined by $Q_x = -\kappa (\partial T/\partial x)$ is obtained first extracting E from (3.9) putting $J_x = 0$ and substituting it in (3.10). In this way we get

$$\kappa = -Q_x / (\partial T / \partial x) = [D^{(3)}D^{(1)} - D^{(2)^2}] / D^{(1)}T \approx (\pi^2/3)k^2 Tn\ell(\epsilon_F) / p_F \quad (3.13).$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we get the Wiedman-Franz law⁸

$$\kappa/\sigma = (\pi^2/3)(k/e)^2 T$$
 (3.14)

which gives a good agreement with the experimental results.⁸

4) Thermoelectric Metallic Bulk Effects.

The **thermoelectric effect** is the direct conversion of temperature differences to electric voltage and vice-versa ⁹. A thermoelectric device creates a voltage when there is a different temperature on each side. Conversely, when a voltage is applied to it, it creates a temperature difference. At the atomic scale, an applied temperature gradient or an applied voltage cause charged carriers to diffuse in the material. These effects can be used to generate electricity, measure temperature or change the temperature of objects. Because the direction of heating and cooling is determined by the polarity of the applied voltage, thermoelectric devices are efficient temperature controllers. The term "thermoelectric effect" encompasses three separately identified effects: the Seebeck effect, Peltier effect and Thomson effect.

These effects will be studied taking into account (3.9) and (3.10) that will be written as

$$J = e^{2}ED^{(1)} - e[(\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T)D^{(1)} + D^{(2)}/T](\partial T/\partial x)$$
(4.1)

and

$$Q = -eED^{(2)} - \left[(\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T)D^{(2)} + D^{(3)}/T \right] (\partial T/\partial x)$$
(4.2)

4.1) Seebeck Effect.

The Seebeck effect is the conversion of temperature differences directly into electricity and is named for discovered in 1821 by the German physicist Thomas Johann Seebeck in 1821. It will be described using the Figure 1where is shown an electric circuit known as a *thermo-electric pair*, formed by two different metals, A and B, where there is no current, that is, J = 0 and where the junctions b and c are maintained at different temperatures T_b and T_c , respectively. A voltmeter is placed between the points a and d that are maintained at the same temperature T_o .



Figure 1. Diagram of the circuit with two different metals A and B with voltmeter between a and b of the metal B.⁹ The junctions b and c are maintained at the temperatures T_b and T_c , respectively.

So, putting J = 0 in (4.1) we obtain the electric field E created by the temperature gradient dT/dx between the junctions c and d :

$$\mathbf{E} = (1/e) \big[\mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T - (1/T) (\mathbf{D}^{(2)}/\mathbf{D}^{(1)}) \big] (dT/dx)$$
(4.3).

In this way, putting $G = \mu/T - \partial \mu/\partial T - (1/T)(D^{(2)}/D^{(1)})$, the voltage measured by the voltmeter between a and d must be given by $V = V_{ad} = \int_{a}^{d} E \ dx$, that is,

$$\int_{a}^{d} G (dT/dx) dx = \int_{a}^{b} G_{A} dT + \int_{b}^{c} G_{B} dT + \int_{c}^{d} G_{A} dT$$
(4.4),

where G_A and G_B are the G values for the metals A and B, respectively. Since the temperatures at the junctions a and d is T_o , at b is T_b and at c is T_c we see that (3.4) is written as

$$\int_{a}^{d} G (dT/dx) dx = \int_{Tb}^{Tc} (G_{B} - G_{A}) dT$$
(4.5).

Since $\mu_A(T) = \mu_B(T)$ at the metal junction due to the equilibrium condition for the electron gases we see that only the term $(1/T)(D^{(2)}/D^{(1)})$ will give a non-null contribution to (4.5). This term can be put in a first approximation using (3.11),

$$(1/T)(D^{(2)}/D^{(1)}) \approx (1/T) \left\{ \left[\mu^2 \ell + (\pi^2/6)(kT)^2 \left(2\ell + 4\mu\ell' \right) \right] / (\mu\ell) \right\}$$
$$= \mu/T + (\pi^2 k^2 T/3\mu)(1 + 2\ell'/\ell)$$
(4.6).

Defining $\Lambda = 1 + 2\mu\ell'/\ell$ we verify that V_{ad} between the junctions a and d is given by

$$V_{ad} = V = (\pi^2 k^2 / 3e) \int_{Tb}^{Tc} dT T [(\Lambda/\mu)_B - (\Lambda/\mu)_A)$$
(4.7).

Defining the Thomson coefficient $\tau = \pi^2 k^2 \Lambda T/(3\mu e)$, (4.7) becomes

$$V = \int_{Tb}^{Tc} dT (\tau_B - \tau_A)$$
(4.8).

For a small temperature difference $T_c - T_b = \delta T$, (4.8) can be written as

$$\delta T\approx V/(\tau_B-\tau_A~)$$

The voltage created by this effect is on the order of several microvolts per Kelvin difference. One such combination, copper-constantan has a Seebeck coefficient of 41 microvolts per Kelvin at room temperature. The Seebeck effect is used in the thermocouple to measure a temperature difference; absolute temperature may be found by setting one end to a known temperature. A metal of unknown composition can be classified by its thermoelectric effect if a metallic probe of known composition, kept at a constant temperature, is held in contact with it. In a recent paper ¹⁰ we have shown that for very thin metallic films, with thickness d \leq 20 nm, due to quantum size effects there appears a "Quantum Seebeck Effect".

4.2) *Peltier Effect*.

The Peltier effect is the presence of heat at an electrified junction of two different metals A and B. It was discovered in 1834 by the French physicist Jean-Charles Peltier. Let us assume now that, in Figure 1, instead of a voltmeter there is a battery with voltage V. In these conditions an electrionic current flows through the circuit in the counter clockwise sense. Let us also assume that the junctions b and c are maintained almost at the same temperature in such a way that dT/dx = 0 in the circuit. In this case, as dT/dx = 0 we get from (4.1) and (4.2): $J = e^2 ED^{(1)}$ and $Q = eED^{(2)}$, that

is, $Q = (D^{(2)}/eD^{(1)}) J$. Since $(D^{(2)}/eD^{(1)}) \approx \mu/e + \tau T$, where $\tau = \pi^2 k^2 \Lambda T/(3\mu e)$ is the Thomson coefficient defined by (4.8), the heat flux is written as $Q = \Pi J$, where $\Pi = \mu/e + \tau T$ is named *Peltier coefficient*. So, the heat flux in the counter clockwise sense along the branch A and B are given, respectively, by $Q_A = \Pi_A J$ and $Q_B = \Pi_B J$, respectively. In this way, at the junction b there is a heat production $Q_b = (\Pi_A - \Pi_B) J$ and at the junction c a heat absorption $Q_c = (\Pi_B - \Pi_A) J = -Q_b$.

4.3) Thomson Effect.

The Thomson effect describes the heating or cooling of a currentcarrying conductor with a temperature gradient. Let us assume that along a conductor (non superconductor) submitted to a temperature gradient dT/dxpasses a current density J. It can be shown that heat production q per unit of volume in the circuit is given by⁹

$$q = \rho J^2 - \tau J (dT/dx)$$
(4.9),

where ρ is the resistivity of the material and τ is the Thomson coefficient. The first term is the Joule heating which does not change in sign; the second term is the Thomson heating, which follows J changing sign.

In metals such as zinc and copper, whose temperature is directly proportional to their potential, when current moves from the hotter end to the colder end, there is a generation of heat and the positive Thomson effect occurs. Conversely, in metals such as cobalt, nickel, and iron, whose temperature is inversely proportional to their potential, when current moves from the hotter end to the colder end, there is a heat absorption and the negative Thomson effect occurs.

REFERENCES

1) A.Sommerfeld. "Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics", Academic Press (1964).

2) K.Huang. "Statistical Mechanics", John Wiley & Sons (1963).

R.Kubo. "Statistical Mechanics", North-Holland (1971).

4) J.Osada. "Mecânica Estatística", Notas de Aulas do IFUSP (1970)

5) H.Goldstein."Classical Mechanics", Addison-Wesley (1959).

6) R.M.Eisberg. "Fundamentals of Modern Physics", JohnWiley & Sons (1964). M.C.Salvadori, A.R.Vaz, F.s.Teixeira, M.cattani and I.G.Brown. APL 88,133106(2006).

7) L.Schiff . "Quantum Mechanics", McGraw-Hill (1955).

8) <u>http://pt.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lei_de_Wiedemann%E2%80%93Franz</u>

9) <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermoelectric_effect</u>.

10) M.C.Salvadori, A.R.Vaz, F.S.Teixeira, M.Cattani and I.G.Brown. Appl.Phys.Lett. 88,133106(2006).