

IFUSP/P-72

"LEVEL-LEVEL CORRELATION AND ABSORPTION IN NUCLEAR REACTIONS"

**B.I.F. - USP**

MAHIR S. HUSSEIN

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, SP

Level-Level Correlation and Absorption In Nuclear Reactions \*

Mahir S. Hussein

Pelletron Laboratory, Instituto de  
Física, Universidade de São Paulo  
C.P. 20.516 - São Paulo - Brasil

\* Supported in part by FINEP

## ABSTRACT

Level-level correlation (LLC) in nuclear reactions is discussed in general and it is shown that in the presence of LLC,  $N_\mu = \frac{\sum_a |g_{\mu a}|^2}{\Gamma_\mu} > \bar{\tau}$ , where  $\bar{\tau}$  is the average absorption in the eigen channels.

It is of interest to acquire a better understanding of the different types of correlations in nuclear reactions. The problem of channel-channel correlation has received a recent extensive discussion<sup>2)</sup> Level-level correlation has also been discussed<sup>1)</sup>. We show in this letter that there is a difficulty inherent in the interpretation of the conclusions reached by<sup>1)</sup>.

The result of <sup>1)</sup> are valid only in the weak-absorption-in-all-channel limit.

To fix the notation as well as make this letter as self-contained as possible we shall sketch in what follows the derivation of reference<sup>1)</sup> but in a slightly different form. Nuclear reaction theory gives for the S-matrix element in the absence of intermediate structure the following

$$S_{ab} = S_{ab}^{(0)} - i \sum_{\mu} \frac{g_{\mu a} g_{\mu b}}{E - E_{\mu} + \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{\mu}} \quad (1)$$

where  $S_{ab}^{(0)}$  describes direct non-resonant reactions and the second term is the compound nucleus contribution with  $g_{\mu a}$  being the partial width amplitude.  $E_{\mu}$  the position of the compound resonance  $\mu$  with the corresponding width  $\Gamma_{\mu}$ . The sum in (1) extends over all the compound levels of interest.

One speaks of level-level correlation if  $g_{\mu a}$  is connected to the partial width amplitudes pertaining to other compound level. Channel-channel correlation comes into the picture as a result of the nondiagonal nature of  $S_{ab}^{(0)\dagger}$ . In order to exhibit the presence of both types of correlation in nuclear reactions, we make use of analytic unitarity.

$$S(E) S^{\dagger}(E^*) = 1 \quad (2)$$

---

† No intermediate structure is assumed present

Evaluating (2) at a pole  $E = E_\mu^*$  one finds, assuming slow energy dependence of all the parameters in (1),

$$N_\mu g_{\mu a} = \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} g_{\mu b}^* + \sum_\nu g_{\nu a} X_{\nu\mu} \quad (3)$$

$$; \quad E_\mu^* = E_\mu + i \frac{\Gamma_\mu}{2}$$

where  $N_\mu = \frac{\sum_a |g_{\mu a}|^2}{\Gamma_\mu}$  and the level-level correlation matrix  $X_{\mu\nu} = -i \sum_b \frac{g_{\mu b} \Lambda_{\mu\nu} g_{\nu b}^*}{E_\nu - E_\mu + \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma_\mu + \Gamma_\nu)}$ , here  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = 1 - \delta_{\mu\nu}$ .

Equation (3) is the desired one as it relates  $g_{\mu a}$  to other ones associated with different channels as expressed by the first term on the right-hand side of Eq.(3) (channel-channel correlation) as well as to  $g_{\nu a}$  corresponding to a different compound levels as exhibited by the last term in (3) (level-level correlation). One should note that generally the compound states that define the partial width amplitudes are bi-orthogonal implying that a simple linear relationship between  $g_{\mu a}$  and its complex conjugate  $g_{\nu a}^*$  can be defined only in the absence of level-level correlation where the last term in (3) vanishes. This, however, results in an apparent puzzle since in such a case one has

$$g_{\mu a} = \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} g_{\mu b}^*$$

implying that  $N_\mu = 1$ . But a second glance at Eq.(4) and assuming

$$S_{ab}^{(0)} = e^{2i\delta_a} \tau_a \delta_{ab} \quad \text{where } \tau_a \text{ is the absorption in}$$

channel a, shows that weak absorption in all channels ( $\tau_a \approx 1$ )

is implicitly assumed. The puzzle is resolved if one has  $N_\mu = \tau_a$ .

To see this in more details we multiply equation 3 from the left by

$N_\mu$  getting:

$$N_\mu^2 g_{\mu a} - \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} N_\mu g_{\mu b}^* = N_\mu F_{\mu a} = N_\mu \sum_{\nu \gamma \alpha} g_{\nu \alpha} X_{\gamma \mu} \quad (4)$$

Taking the adjoint of (3) and substituting for  $N_\mu g_{\mu b}^*$  in (4) one finally gets:

$$N_\mu^2 g_{\mu a} - \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} \sum_c S_{cb}^{(0)*} g_{\mu c} = \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} F_{\mu b}^* + N_\mu F_{\mu a} \quad (5)$$

Now changing the order of summation the second term in (5) becomes

$$\sum_c (S^{(0)} S^{(0)\dagger})_{ac} g_{\mu c}$$

If one assumes weak absorption in all channels then one recovers equation (14) in reference 1)

$$(N_\mu^2 - 1) g_{\mu a} = \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} F_{\mu b}^* + N_\mu F_{\mu a} \quad (6)$$

However in general  $\sum_c (S^{(0)} S^{(0)\dagger})_{ac} g_{\mu c}$  is smaller than  $g_{\mu a}$  due to absorption which comes from the compound nucleus formation via unitarity. This is easiest seen if there was no channel-channel correlation i.e.  $S_{ab}^{(0)}$  is diagonal in the channel indices  $S_{ab}^{(0)} = e^{2i\delta_a} \tau_a \delta_{ab}$  :

$$(N_\mu^2 - \tau_a^2) g_{\mu a} = \sum_b S_{ab}^{(0)} F_{\mu b}^* + N_\mu F_{\mu a} \quad (7)$$

In the case that  $F_{\mu a} = \sum_{\nu \gamma \alpha} g_{\nu \alpha} X_{\gamma \mu} = 0$  (no level-level correlation) and none of the  $g_{\mu a}$  is zero we multiply (7) by  $g_{\mu a}$

and sum over a getting  $N_\mu = \tau$  where  $\tau = \frac{\sum_a \tau_a |g_{\mu a}|^2}{\sum_a |g_{\mu a}|^2}$  i.e. absence of level-level correlation implies that  $N_\mu$  is given by the average absorption present in all channels. Only when one approximates this absorption to be non existent ( $\tau = 1$ ) would one then get the result of ref<sup>1)</sup>.

In the presence of: channel-channel correlation one can derive a similar result by using the Engelbrecht-Weidenmüller (EW) transformation that leaves the transmission matrix  $T_{ab} = \delta_{ab} - \sum_c \langle S_{ac} \rangle \langle S_{bc}^\dagger \rangle$  diagonal in channel indices. Where  $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$  is the energy-averaged S-matrix. This same transformation diagonalizes  $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$  and  $\langle S_{ab}^{(\omega)} \rangle$ . Thus denoting the transformation matrix by U.

$$U U^\dagger = 1$$

$$(U P U^\dagger)_{ab} = p_a \delta_{ab} \tag{8}$$

$$\text{and: } (U S^{(\omega)} U^\dagger)_{ab} = e^{2i\tilde{\delta}_a} \tilde{\tau}_a \delta_{ab}$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}_a$  is the absorption in " eigenchannel " a; (or "eigen" absorption) and  $\tilde{\delta}_a$  is the corresponding "eigen"-phase. Calling

$Ug \equiv v$  we obtain after multiplying equation (7) from the left by U:

$$N_\mu^2 g_{\mu a} = \sum_c (U S^{(\omega)} S^{(\omega)\dagger} U^\dagger)_{ac} v_{\mu c} + \sum_b (U S^{(\omega)} U^\dagger)_{ab} f_{\mu b}^* + N_\mu f_{\mu a} \tag{9}$$

Where

$$f_{\mu a} = (UF)_{\mu a}$$

$$= \sum_\nu v_{\nu a} X_{\nu \mu}$$

But  $(U S^{(0)} U^T U^* S^{(0)†} U^†)_{ac} = \tilde{\tau}_a^2 \delta_{ac}$

then eq (9) reduces to:

$$(N_\mu^2 - \tilde{\tau}_a^2) v_{\mu a} = e^{2i\delta_a} \tilde{\tau}_a f_{\mu a}^* + N_\mu f_{\mu a} \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) contains both channel-channel correlation ( $U \neq 1$ ) and level-level correlation  $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$  both conspicuously exhibited in  $f_{\mu a}$  on the right-hand side. Again assuming no level-level correlation makes  $f_{\mu a} = 0$  and thus for all non zero  $v_{\mu a}$  we obtain  $N_\mu = \tilde{\tau}$  where  $\tilde{\tau} = \frac{\sum_a \tilde{\tau}_a |v_{\mu a}|^2}{\sum_a |v_{\mu a}|^2}$ . Thus the condition that  $N_\mu = 1$  in the absence of level-level correlation is only an upper limit valid when "eigen" absorption in all channels is weak ( $\tilde{\tau} = 1$ ).

The necessary and sufficient condition for the presence of level-level correlation in nuclear reactions can thus be obtained, following the argument of <sup>1)</sup>:

$$N_\mu > \tilde{\tau} \quad (11)$$

Any discussion of level-level correlation in nuclear reactions is thus intimately connected with that of absorption.



References:

1) A. Sevgen, Phys. Lett. 52B, (1974), 306.

2) C.A. Engelbrecht and H.A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. C8, (1973) 853.