IFUSP/P-143

175377

ON THE EQUIVALENCE OF MASSIVE QED WITH RENORMALIZABLE AND IN UNITARY GAUGE

<u>C</u>.

by .

B.I.F.-USP

## E.Abdalla

Instituto de Física - Universidade de São Paulo

### ON THE EQUIVALENCE OF MASSIVE OED WITH RENORMALIZABLE AND IN

UNITARY GAUGE

### E.ABDALLA\*+

Instituto de Física da Universidade de São Paulo

### ABSTRACT

In the framework of BPHZ renormalization procedure, we discuss the equivalence between 4-dimensional renormalizable massive quantum electrodynamics (Stueckelberg lagrangian), and massive QED in the unitary gauge.

- \* Work supported by "Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo" - FAPESP.
- + Present adress: II Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hamburg.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Massive QED can be described by the Proca-Wentzel<sup>(1)</sup> Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{R} = \frac{1}{2} (1+d) i \overline{\Psi} \widetilde{\Psi} \widetilde{J}_{\mu} \Psi - (M-c) \overline{\Psi} \Psi - (\underline{1+b}) \partial_{\mu} A, \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A, \partial^{\mu} A, \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A, \partial^{\mu} A, \partial^{\mu$$

$$+ \frac{4}{2} (m^{2} + a) A_{\mu} A^{\mu} + \frac{4}{2} (4 + b) (\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu})^{2}$$
(1)

However, this is a non-renormalizable theory, due to the ultra-violet behavior of the vector meson propagator:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{F_{\mu\nu}}(k) = i \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{m^2}}{k^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon}$$
(2)

As in massless QED, we introduce a term proportional to  $(\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu})^2$ , which improves the ultra-violet behavior. In this way we are led to the Stueckelberg<sup>(1,2)</sup> Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{st} = \mathcal{L}_{R} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \partial_{\mu} A^{\mu} \right)^{2}$$
(3)

which describes the interaction of a vector meson with a fermion, in an indefinite metric Hilbert space.

We define the physical subspace by the same procedure as used by Gupta-Bleuler:

 $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu \dagger} | \psi \rangle = 0$ 

(4)

2.

we obtain the separation of the dynamics:

$$\mathcal{L}_{st}(A_{\mu}, \Psi) = \mathcal{L}_{st}(U_{\mu}, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu})^{2} + \text{ surface terms}$$
(6)

so that the dynamics of the physical fields is separated from that of the ghost  $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}$  (which has negative metric).

However, all this separation is only formal, first because the renormalization of the Proca Lagrangian requires an infinite number of counterterms<sup>(3)</sup>; and also exp $\left[-ie \Lambda(x)\right]$ is not well defined<sup>(2)</sup>, in such a way that if  $\Psi(x)$  defines a operator valued distribution,  $\Psi(x)$  does not<sup>(2)</sup>.

In the present paper we proove the equivalence between the theory in the Stueckelberg's lagrangian and that one with Proca's lagrangian with counterterms (which we shall call unitary gauge), taking into account the problem of renormalization. In 2-dimensions this has been done in Ref. (3).

Our paper is divided as follows:

Having stated the problem in section II, we adjust the parameters (which appear in unitary gauge's lagrangian) in section III so that Green's functions in the renormalizable case be independent of  $m_h^2$  ( $= \propto m^2$ ) on the mass shell.

3.

In section IV we prove that parameters can be fixed in such a way that Green's functions be equivalent in both theories, in such a way that they differ only by a renormalization.

In section V we give an explicit example which shows that the parameters become infinite in the limit  $m_0^2 - \infty$ .

#### II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

With the Proca lagrangian the photon propagator turns out to be

(7)

(8)

(9)

$$\Delta F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{k^2 - m^2} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{m^2} \right]$$

The interaction is given by

yielding a superficial degree of divergence

$$S_{\mu}(r) = 4 - \frac{3F}{2} - 2B + N_{r}(r)$$
  
 $N_{r}(r) = n^{2} of vértices in r$ 

Since  $\delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{Y})$  depends on  $N_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{v})$ , any Green's function will eventually turn out to be divergent. Consequently we have a non-renormalizable theory, and an infinite number of counterterms is generated. In order to define a unique theory an infinite number of renormalization conditions is criterion: the Green's functions of the unitary gauge (nonrenormalizable theory) must be equivalent to those of the renormalizable gauges.

Having in mind this aim, we define the following  $\lambda$ -dependent Lagrangian ( $\lambda$ -Lagrangian):

where  $\Omega_{mnPPiq}^{S}$  is a complete, linearly independent set of formally gauge-invariant counterterms. The prescription to find the finite part is the BPHZ renormalizable procedure, with degree:

$$\delta_{\lambda}(\gamma) = 4 - \frac{3}{2}F_{\gamma} - B_{\gamma} - 2\bar{B}_{\gamma} + \sum_{k \in Y} (\bar{a}_{k} - 4)$$

 $\overline{B} = n^{2}$  of external boson lines atached to vertices  $N_{5}[\overline{\Psi}A\Psi]$ , or  $N_{S_{\alpha}}[\Omega_{\alpha}]$ but not to  $A_{\mu}$  from  $(\partial_{\mu} - ie A_{\mu})_{B=n^{2}}$  of the other enternal boson lines. in such a way that

Andrea de la companya de la seconda de la companya de la seconda de la companya de la seconda de la seconda de

**Can be parametrized as follows:** 

where

$$\Theta_{\lambda j} = \frac{k_{\lambda}}{l=1} \left( \partial_{\eta l} - ie A_{\eta e} \right)$$

$$\overline{\Theta}_{\lambda_{i}}^{} = \frac{k_{i}}{1L} \left( \overline{\partial} \eta_{i} + i e A \eta_{e'} \right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_{j} = P , \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_{j} = P_{j} , \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} l_{j} = Q$$

 $\partial^{m}$  is the same as  $\partial_{\sigma_1} \dots \partial_{\sigma_m}$ 

 $\mathcal{P}$  refers to any of the possible permutations of  $k_j$ ,  $i_j$ ,  $j_{l'}$ ,  $k_j$ ,  $\sigma_{i_j}$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}_{i_j}$ , such that  $m, n, p, p_1, q$  stay invariant, besides integration by parts.

 $\mathfrak{M}_{(\mathfrak{k})(\nu)(\lambda)(\sigma)}$  is a matrix to contract the Lorentz indices, and finally

 $\delta = q + 2p + 2p_1 + 2n + 3m$ 

We call attention to the fact that if  $\lambda=0$  and  $m_0^2 = \infty$ the  $\lambda$ -lagrangian becomes the Proca-Lagrangian (plus, of course, an infinite number of counterterms). If  $\int_{\mathcal{Y}} (\lambda_z)_{z=0}$ , we have for  $\lambda = 1$  the Stueckelberg Lagrangian.

The problem now is to show that Green's functions are independent of  $\lambda$ .

III. <u>DEPENDENCE ON m<sup>2</sup></u>

Now we shall fix the counterterms in such a way that the usual relation is ensured (1,4):

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial m_0^2} = \frac{1}{m_{\pm \alpha}^2} \Delta_0 G$$

where  $\Delta_{\circ} \mathcal{G}$  vanishes in the mass-shell.

Dow aimplication the define

6.

(11)

$$\mathcal{L}_{mn} p p_{3} q = \mathcal{L}_{y} , \quad y > 6 \qquad (12a)$$

$$f_1 = \alpha$$
,  $f_2 = b$ ,  $f_3 = c$ ,  $f_4 = d$ ,  $f_5 = f$ 

$$f_6 = -b - \frac{m^2 + \alpha}{m_e^2}$$
, and  $f_y = f_{mn} p_{P_s} q_s$  for  $y > 6$  (12b)

We define furthermore:

iκ.

3

$$\Delta_{1} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left[ A_{\mu} A^{\mu} \right] (x)$$

$$\Delta_{z} = \frac{i}{4} \int d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] (x)$$

$$\overline{\Delta}_{2} = \frac{i}{4} \int d^{4}x \quad N_{6} \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] (z)$$

$$\Delta_{3} = i \int d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left[ \overline{\Psi} \Psi \right] (x)$$

$$\Delta_{4} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left[ \overline{\Psi} \Psi^{\mu} \overline{\Theta}_{\mu} \Psi \right] (z)$$

$$\Delta_{5} = i \int d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left[ \overline{\Psi} \Psi^{\mu} \overline{\Theta}_{\mu} \Psi \right] (z)$$

$$\overline{\Delta}_{5} = i \int d^{4}x \quad N_{6} \left[ \overline{\Psi} \Psi^{\mu} \Psi A_{\mu} \right] (z)$$

$$\overline{\Delta}_{6} = \frac{i}{2} \left( d^{4}x \quad N_{4} \left( \overline{\Theta}_{\mu} A^{\mu} \right)^{2} (z) \right)$$

$$\Delta_{0}G_{f} = i \int d^{4}x \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} \partial_{y_{i}} \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}, m_{0}^{2}) \partial_{y_{j}} \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}, m_{0}^{2}) \langle 0|T X_{\tau_{i}} |0\rangle + \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{e+f}{1+d} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{N} \partial_{y_{i}} \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}, m_{0}^{2}) \left[ \Delta_{F} (x - z_{j}, m_{0}^{2}) - \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}, m_{0}^{2}) \langle 0|T X_{\tau_{i}} |0\rangle + \right. \\ \left. + i \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{i}) \Delta_{F} (x - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{i}) \Delta_{F} (x - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) + \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}) \Delta_{F} (z - y_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N} \left[ \Delta_{F} (z - z_{i}) \Delta_{F} (z - z_{j}) \right] G_{i} + 2 \left( \frac{e+f}{1+d} \right)^{2} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq j}}^{N}$$

From the Gell-Man Low formula we have:

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial m_{0}^{2}} = \sum_{\substack{y \neq 2.5 \\ y \neq 2.5 \\ \partial m_{0}^{2}}} \Delta_{y}G + \frac{\partial b}{\partial m_{0}^{2}} \left[ (1-\lambda)\overline{\Delta}_{2} + \lambda \overline{\Delta}_{2} \right]G$$

+ 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m_0^2} \left[ (1-\lambda) \overline{\Delta}_5 + \lambda \Delta_5 \right] G$$

(15)

(13)

7.



In order to use this formula let us establish a relation

Ð



a=a. (7)

(25a)

$$f = f_0(\lambda) + \frac{1}{m^2 + \alpha} \int_0^{m_0^2} r_5(m_0^2) dm_0^2$$
(26)

10.

$$f_{y} = f_{y}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{m^{2}+\alpha} \int_{0}^{m^{2}} \left[ (1-\lambda)r_{5}f_{5y} + r_{y} \right] dm_{0}^{2}$$
(27)

So that (11) holds.

### IV) THE EQUIVALENCE

In this section we shall proove that the Green's functions calculated with the  $\lambda$ -lagrangian (10) are  $\lambda$ -independent, i.e. we shall proove that <sup>(3)</sup>

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \tag{28}$$

From the Gell-Man-Low formula:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda} = \begin{cases} \sum_{y=2,5} \frac{\partial f_y}{\partial \lambda} \Delta_y + (e+f)(\Delta_5 - \overline{\Delta}_5) + b(\Delta_2 - \overline{\Delta}_2) + b(\Delta_2 - \overline{\Delta}_2) \end{cases}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \lambda} \left[ (1-\lambda) \overline{\Delta}_{5} + \lambda \Delta_{5} \right] + \frac{\partial b}{\partial \lambda} \left[ (1-\lambda) \overline{\Delta}_{2} + \lambda \Delta_{2} \right] G \qquad (29)$$

Inserting (24) in (29)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{y} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_{y}}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial \lambda} (\mathcal{I} - \lambda) \right]_{5y} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial \lambda} (\mathcal{I} - \lambda) \right]_{2y} - (e+f) \right]_{5y}$$

$$- b = \mathcal{I} \wedge C$$
(30)

Now it is our aim to find  $\widetilde{\Delta}_y \mathcal{G}_y$  gauge independent, that is:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m_0^2} - \frac{1}{m_1^2 + \alpha} \Delta_o\right) \tilde{\Delta}_y G = 0$$
(31)

We can construct  $\widetilde{\Delta}_y$  by the following procedure: gauge invariant  $\widetilde{\Delta}_y$  are constructed, taking linear combinations of the  $\Delta_y$ 's.

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{y}^{(m)} = \Delta_{y} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{y'} \beta_{jy'}^{(a)} \Delta_{y'}$$
(32)

$$\beta_{yyi} = \int_{0}^{m_{0}} \alpha_{yyi}^{(n)} dm_{0}^{2}$$

$$\propto_{yy'}^{(n)} = \sum_{y''} \beta_{yy''}^{(n-3)} \propto_{y''y'}^{(n-3)} \approx_{y''y'}^{(n-3)} (34)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{0}^{2}}-\frac{1}{m^{2}+\alpha}\Delta_{0}\right)\Delta_{y}G=-\Sigma \propto_{yy}^{(1)}\Delta_{y}G$$
(35)

Then we can write: states and second se

 $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{gg'} \left\{ \frac{\partial f_g}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} (1 - \lambda) \right\}_{5g} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial \lambda} (1 - \lambda) \frac{g}{2g} - (e + f) \frac{f}{\delta} - b \frac{g}{\delta} \right\}_{00} \overset{\sim}{\mathcal{A}} \overset{\sim$ 

where the coefficient of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{y}$  is independent of  $m_0^2$ . We put  $m_0^2=0$ , and impose  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial g}=0$ . ( $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial g}=0$  holds independently of  $m_0^2$ ,

since the coeficient of  $\tilde{\Delta}_{u'}$  is  $m_{d'}^2$  independent). By the fact that det  $[\omega] \neq 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial f_{y}^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial f_{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} \xi_{5y} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial b^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} \xi_{2y} - (e + f_{(0)}) \xi_{5y}$$

$$- b_{(0)} \xi_{2y} = 0$$
(37)

 $-b_{10}$ ,  $f_{2y} = 0$  $f_{y}^{(0)}$  can be calculated in perturbation theory, using:

$$\int_{3}^{(0)} (\lambda = 1) = 0 , \quad y > 6$$
  
and the normalization conditons of QED for  $y < 6$ . Equation  
(37) implies independence of the Green's functions with

respect to  $\lambda$ , eq.(28).

### V) AN EXAMPLE

In this chapter we take the explicit Green's function  $G_{\mu}^{(2,0)}$  and proove that

1. 
$$G^{(2,0)}(\lambda = 1) = G^{(2,0)}(\lambda)$$

+ + 1 - 1 - 2

2. The counterterms diverge for 
$$\lambda = 1$$
 as it should be,  
because in this case, the graphs are explicitly finite,  
but Green's function must be infinite, because in  
the case  $\lambda = 0$  the Green's functions are infinite.

$$g = \frac{1}{1 \cdot \lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

# An straightforward calculation shows that:

$$G(\lambda) = G(\lambda)$$

where

ŋ

$$f_{y} = f_{y}^{(0)}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{m^{2} + \alpha} \int_{0}^{m_{0}^{2}} r_{y}(m_{0}^{*2}) dm_{0}^{*2}$$
(39)

$$f'_{y} = f'_{y} f^{(1)}_{y} + f^{(2)}_{z}$$
 (40)

$$\frac{-\delta_{z-5}}{\delta_{z-5}} = \frac{1}{\delta_{z-4}} = \frac{1}{$$

we note that

$$\Gamma_{3}^{(4)} = \Gamma_{4}^{(4)} = 0$$
 (42)

$$r_{\gamma}^{(2)} = r_{g}^{(2)} = 0$$
 (43)

$$F_{3} = M^{3} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{y \, dy}{y m_{0}^{2} + (1-y) M^{2}}$$
(44)

$$\Gamma_{4} = -3M^{2} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(k^{2} - m_{0}^{2})(k^{2} - M^{2})} - 2M^{4} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(k^{2} - m_{0}^{2})^{2}(k^{2} - M^{2})^{3}}$$
(45)

$$\Gamma_{\gamma} = (1 - \lambda^{2}) M \int \frac{d^{4}k (k^{2} + M^{2})}{(k^{2} - m_{0}^{2}) (k^{2} - M^{2})^{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{M^{2}}{k^{2} - M^{2}} \right]$$
(46)

For  $\mathfrak{m}_{o}^{2} \gg \mathfrak{M}^{2}$  we find:

(38)



$$r_{\eta} \simeq \frac{\pi}{m_{0}^{2}}$$

which diverge logaritmically when integrated.

Acknowledgement the author Wishes to thank Dr. M. Gomes for discussions, and specially Dr. R. Koberle for the idea of the problem, the discussions and critical reading.

$$\begin{split} & \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^$$

14.

(47)

(48)

(49)

### REFERENCES

- J.H.Lowenstein Lectures on renormalizable theory -Maryland University - 1972.
- (2) K.Symanzik Islamabad Lectures on Lagrangian quantum field theory, DESY report .
- (3) J.Frenkel, M.Gomes, R.Koberle Rev.Bras.Fisica6, 365 (1976).
- (4) J.H.Lowenstein, B.Schroer PRD 6, 1553 (1972).

### FOOTNOTES

[1] The gauge independence of  $\tilde{\Delta}_y$  will be used to put  $m_o^2 = 0$ in equation (37). This is important in order not to have contradiction between

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial m_0^2} = \frac{1}{m^2 + \alpha} \Delta_0 G$$
 and  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda} = 0$