

17845-77

**INSTITUTO  
DE FÍSICA**

**preprint**

IFUSP/P-202

LIBERATION OF U(N) SOLITONS IN THE CP<sup>N-1</sup>  
MODEL BY MASSLESS QUARKS

by

R. Küberle

Instituto de Física e Química de São Carlos  
Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 369  
13560 São Carlos, SP, Brasil

and

V. Kurak

Instituto de Física - Universidade de São Paulo  
Caixa Postal 20.516, São Paulo, SP, Brasil

B.I.F.-USP

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO  
INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA  
Caixa Postal - 20.516  
Cidade Universitária  
São Paulo - BRASIL

IFUSP/P 202  
B.I.F. - USP

Liberation of  $U(N)$  solitons in the  $CP^{N-1}$   
model by massless quarks

R. KÖBERLE

*Instituto de Física e Química de São Carlos  
Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 369  
13560 São Carlos, SP Brasil*

and

V. KURAK \*

*Instituto de Física - Universidade de São Paulo  
Caixa Postal 20.516, São Paulo, SP, Brasil*

\* Supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP). On leave from Departamento de Física da Pontifícia Universidade Católica, Rio de Janeiro, R.J., Brazil.

## Abstract

We show that in a model obtained by adding  $N$  minimally coupled massless fermions to the original  $CP^{N-1}$  model, one obtains asymptotic states, belonging to the fundamental representation of  $U(N)$ . We view these states as liberated fundamental  $CP^{N-1}$  solitons. They have an S-matrix, whose factorizability was verified to order  $1/N^2$  and whose scattering amplitudes coincide to order  $1/N$  with a previously computed exact S-matrix without bound states. All fermionic degrees of freedom except one decouple from the physical Hilbert space.

In the last few years two-dimensional field theoretical models have acquired a reputation as a fertile ground for generating new ideas possibly relevant for four-dimensional quantum chromodynamics. Among these the  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model seems to be particularly close to a realistic theory, but unfortunately its more interesting properties are still poorly understood.

Together with the nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model, where the existence of an infinite number of local and nonlocal conservation laws surviving quantization and a factorizable S-matrix has been proven [4,5] it belongs to a class of models expected to share these properties. (\*)

The Green functions of the  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model may be generated by the following functional integral<sup>[1]</sup>:

$$Z = \int dz dz^* [dA_\mu] [dw] \exp \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu z^* \partial^\mu z + \omega (z^* z - 1) \right\} - (2\pi i)^2 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu z^* (A_\mu + i A_\nu) z + \omega (z^* z - 1) \quad (1)$$

where the normalization and source fields have been omitted for simplicity.

This generating functional may be expanded in powers of  $1/N$  by integrating over the  $z$  field and expanding the result around the stationary point  $A_\mu = 0, \omega = \omega^2$ . This produces Feynman rules which contain a pole at  $p^2 = 0$  in the  $A_\mu$ -propagator. It was argued in Ref.1, that this dynamically generated pole is

The gauge field's function as a confining agent is also responsible for a long range force confining the fundamental particles in the CP<sup>N-1</sup> model. The same pole furthermore allows for a 0-dependence of the vacuum energy density. Thus confinement and a nontivial 0-dependence are in this model tightly correlated facts.

Reasoning along these lines leads one to believe that eliminating the 0-dependence will also suppress confinement.

Following current belief [7] we now suppress the 0-dependence adding N massless fermions (\*\*), minimally coupled to the "gauge" field A<sub>μ</sub>, obtaining

$$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\text{CPN1}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CPN1}} + \bar{\psi} i(\not{z} - iA_\mu) \psi \quad (2)$$

From the equivalent boson representation [8] of  $\psi$ , one sees that the fermions remain massless and that the fermionic SU(N) degrees of freedom decouple, so that the fermions do not appear asymptotically at all, being confined just as in the massless Schwinger model. On the other hand the field  $A_\mu$  loses its pole at  $\vec{p}^2 = 0$  and the particles corresponding to the z field emerge, as can be seen introducing the Lagrangian (2) into the functional integral (1) and integrating over the fermion fields. This yields the following propagators in the 1/N expansion:

$$D_z(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \quad (3a)$$

$$D_\omega = 4\pi i \omega^2 \frac{\sin \phi}{\phi} \quad (3.a)$$

$$D_\lambda^{\text{tw}} = (g^{\text{tw}} - \frac{p^{\mu} p^{\nu}}{p^2}) 2\pi i \frac{\sin \phi}{\phi} \quad (3.b)$$

where  $p^2 = -4m^2 \sin^2 \frac{\phi}{2}$  and  $\sin \phi = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \phi}$

The vertices are the same as in the usual  $CP^{N-1}$  model

of Eq. (1). [1] and have simple and known perturbative rules.

We believe that these Feynman rules generate a field theory with a factorized S-matrix. Our arguments are the usual ones, i) absence of particle production to order  $1/N^2$  and ii) agreement to order  $1/N$  with an exact S matrix previously computed assuming factorization [9]. The reader may check i) using the standard procedure. As for ii) let's write down the proposed exact amplitudes corresponding to the  $2 \rightarrow 2$  scattering of particles  $\alpha(p)$  and antiparticles  $\bar{\alpha}(p)$ . Defining the rapidity variables  $p_0^i = m \cosh \theta_i$ ,  $p_1^i = m \sinh \theta_i$  and  $p_1 \cdot p_2 = m \cosh(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$  we write the exact amplitudes

$$\text{out}^{\text{in}} \langle \alpha(\theta_1) \bar{\alpha}(\theta_2) | \alpha(\theta_1) \bar{\alpha}(\theta_2) \rangle = t_1(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \delta_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{rs} + t_2(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \delta_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{rs} \delta_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\text{out}^{\text{in}} \langle \alpha(\theta_1) \bar{\alpha}(\theta_2) | \alpha(\theta_1) \bar{\alpha}(\theta_2) \rangle = t_1[\pi - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)] \delta_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{rs} + t_2[\pi - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)] \delta_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{rs} \delta_{\rho\sigma}$$

where the amplitudes above and below (3) will be shown to satisfy

$$t_1(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi i}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N} - \frac{\theta}{2\pi i})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2\pi i}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi i})} \quad (4.a)$$

$$t_2(\theta) = -\frac{2\pi i}{N(i\pi-\theta)} t_1(\theta). \quad (4.b)$$

and the reflection amplitudes vanishes.

These amplitudes were computed in Ref. 9, where to we refer the reader for details. Expanding (4.a, b) to first order in  $1/N$  one gets agreement with those computed with the Feynman rules of Eq. (3).

Another support for the above proposal comes from an analogy operating between the Gross-Neveu and the non-linear  $\sigma$ -model on one hand and the chiral Gross-Neveu and the  $CP^{N-1}$  model on the other hand. The S-matrices of the first two models, each one depending on a parameter  $\lambda'$ , are related by the substitution  $\lambda' \rightarrow -\lambda'$ . Upon supersymmetrizing them one obtains a fermion-boson transmission amplitude invariant under the above substitution. Analogously supersymmetry [12] couples the chiral Gross-Neveu and the  $CP^{N-1}$  model and again the supersymmetric fermion-boson transmission amplitude is invariant under a substitution  $\lambda' \rightarrow -\lambda'$ .  $(***)$

Thus one could suspect that the natural candidate for the S-matrix obtained from the one of the chiral Gross-Neveu model via the substitution  $\lambda' \rightarrow -\lambda'$ , would be the one of the usual  $CP^{N-1}$  model. But this cannot be true if one believes that the fundamental particles of this model are confined. It is the model of Eq. (2), which has the above S-matrix and one starts to doubt whether the usual  $CP^{N-1}$  model is factorizable at all.

$$(C_1 + C_2)^2 (C_3 + C_4)^2$$

Thus the following picture seems to emerge. The massless fermions shield the source of the longe range force and apparently this is their only job. This allows the confined particles to emerge and they seem to interact via repulsive forces, since they do not form bound states. The implications of this picture for the usual  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model remain to be worked out. At any rate it would be interesting to prove the existence of bound states, as was announced in Ref.1, since this is a prerequisite for nontrivial physical content of the usual  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model.

We acknowledge the useful discussions with V. K. and thank

We acknowledge discussions with J.A. Swieca. V. K. would like to express his gratitude to the theoretical group of the Instituto de Física, USP, for their kind hospitality.

## Footnotes

[5] See also the paper by the younger air pilot's colleague

(\*) The situation with respect to local conservation laws, is not clear at present even for the classical  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model [6].

(\*\*) Only one fermion would be sufficient for our purpose, but is somewhat less symmetric.

(\*\*\*) The supersymmetric  $\text{CP}^{N-1}$  model, together with a supersymmetric extension involving the supersymmetric generalization of a factorizable  $Z(N)$  model, are exactly soluble and their S-matrices will be presented in a forthcoming paper by the present authors. [7]

Editorial note: The original version of this paper contained some errors and ambiguities which have been corrected in the present version. The reader is advised to consult the original version for a more detailed account of the theory and its applications.

References:

1. M. Lüscher, Phys.Lett. 78B, (1978), 465; A. D'Adda, P. di Vecchia and M. Lüscher, Nucl.Phys. B146 (1978) 63.
2. E. Witten, Nucl.Phys. B149 (1978) 285.
3. V. Golo and A. Perelomov, Phys.Lett. 79B, (1978) 112 ;  
H. Eichenherr, Ph.D. thesis, Heidelberg (1978), Nucl.  
Phys. B146, (1978) 215.
4. K. Pohlmeier, Comm.Math.Phys. 46, (1976) 207; M. Lüscher,  
Nucl.Phys. B135, (1978), 1; A.M. Polyakov, Phys.Lett. B72,  
(1977), 244; A. Brezin et al., Phys.Lett. 82B, (1979)  
442.
5. A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl.Phys.  
B133, (1978) 529.
6. S. Chadha and Y.Y. Goldschmidt, Saclay preprint Dph-T/79/43
7. R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys.Rev.Lett. 37, (1976) 172 ;  
C.G. Callan, R. Dashen and D. Gross, Phys.Lett. 63B ,  
(1976) 334; S. Coleman, "The uses of instantons",  
Lectures delivered at the 1977 International School of  
Subnuclear Physics, Ettore Majorana.
8. S. Mandelstam, Phys.Rev. D11, (1975) 3026; M.B. Halpern,  
Phys.Rev. D12, (1975) 1684; J.A. Swieca, Fortsch. der  
Physik 25 (1977) 303.
9. B. Berg et al, Nucl.Phys. B134 (1978) 125.
10. A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov ITEP preprint  
35 (1978); M. Karowski, Berlin preprint FUB/HEP 7/Sept.79.
11. A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Phys.Lett. 72B,  
(1978) 481.

12. R. Shankar and E. Witten, Phys.Rev.D17, (1978) 2134.
13. A. D'Adda, P. di Vecchia and M. Lüscher, Nucl.Phys.
14. R. Köberle and V. Kurak, to be published.
15. V. Kurak and J.A. Swieca , Phys.Lett. 82B, (1979) 289.;  
R. Köberle, V. Kurak and J.A. Swieca, Phys.Rev. D to appear.; B. Berg and P. Weiz, Nucl.Phys. B146, (1978) 205.