UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA CAIXA POSTAL 20516 01000 - São Paulo - SP Brasil



2 IFUSP/P-399

08 AGD 199**3**



ON THE EXISTENCE OF BOUND STATES OF N-PARTICLE SYSTEMS IN ONE- AND TWO-DIMENSIONS

by

F.A.B.Coutinho, C.P. Malta and J. Fernando Perez Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

Maio/1983

ON THE EXISTENCE OF BOUND STATES OF N-PARTICLE SYSTEMS IN ONE- AND TWO-DIMENSIONS

F.A.B. Coutinho^(*), C.P. Malta and J. Fernando Perez^(*) Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

C.P. 20516 - São Paulo, Brasil

ABSTRACT

We give sufficient conditions for the existence, in one- and two-dimensions, of bound states of a system of N-particles interacting via two-body potentials.

(*) Partially supported by CNPq.

It is well known that a quantum particle in ∇ dimensions in the presence of an attractive potential $V(x) \leq 0$ (with $\lim_{|\vec{x}| \to \infty} V(\vec{x}) = 0$) has at least one eigenstate of negative energy for $\nabla = 1$ and 2 (see for instance refs. 1 and 2). If $\nabla = 1$ the statement remains true even under the weaker assumption that $\left[V(x) \, dx < 0 \right]^{(2)}$.

In this letter we present several extensions of these results. First we show that also for v=2 the assumption $\int v(\vec{x}) d^{\nu}x < 0$ is sufficient to ensure the existence of at least one bound state. Then we study the N-body problem with particles interacting via two body potentials $V_{ij}(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j)$ with $\int V_{ij}(\vec{x}) d^{\nu}x < 0$ and prove for v=1 and 2 the existence of at least one bound state with energy below the continuum. Finally we show that if the system admits a partition into (exactly) two disjoint cluster C₁ and C₂, which are internally bound with energies E_{C_1} and E_{C_2} respectively and such that the continuum spectrum of N-body system (after removal of the center of mass motion) starts at $E_{C_1} + E_{C_2}$ and the intercluster potential⁽³⁾ $V_{C_1C_2}(\vec{x})$ satisfies $\int V_{C_1C_2}(\vec{x}) d^{\nu}x < 0$, then there is at least one bound state with energy below

Let us first consider the problem of one particle in the presence of a potential $V(\vec{x}) \in C_0(\vec{R}^{\vee})$ (continuous and of compact support) with $\int V(\vec{x}) d^{\vee}x < 0$, $\nu = 1$ and 2. The existence of at least one bound state with negative energy follows from the variational principle and Hunziker's theorem⁽¹⁾ (which locates the continuum spectrum there where common sense says it should be⁽³⁾) if we can exhibit an element $\phi \in L^2(\vec{R}^{\vee})$ such that $(\phi, (H_0 + \nabla) \phi) < 0$ where $H_0 = -\frac{\Delta}{2\pi}$ (with the obvious domain restrictions). (i) Case v = 1 (needed for later use)

Let supp V \subset [-R,+R] and $\phi \in C_{O}^{\infty}(\vec{R})$ be such that $\phi(x) = 1$ for all $x \in [-R,+R]$. If we now set $\phi_{\alpha}(x) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\alpha x)$ $(\alpha > 0)$ it is clear that for $\alpha \le 1$ we have

$$\begin{split} (\phi_{\alpha},(\mathrm{H}_{O}^{+}\mathrm{V})\phi_{\alpha}) &= \alpha^{2}(\phi,\mathrm{H}_{O}^{-}\phi) + \alpha \int \mathrm{V}(\mathbf{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \quad . \\ \end{split} \\ \text{Therefore} \quad (\phi_{\alpha},(\mathrm{H}_{O}^{+}\mathrm{V})\phi_{\alpha}) < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha < \frac{|\int \mathrm{V}(\mathbf{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}|}{(\phi,\mathrm{H}_{O}^{-}\phi)} \quad . \end{split}$$

(ii) Case: v = 2

The reader will have noticed that the proof for v = 1 essentially followed the intuition suggested by the uncertainty principle. In two dimensions this reasoning fails (as both the kynetic and potential energies scale in the same way) and a more refined trial wave function is required.

Let the support of ~V~ be contained in the circle of radius ~R~ with center in the origin. For $~0<\alpha\leq 1~$ let

$$\chi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 , r \leq R \\ 2 (\frac{r}{R})^{-\alpha} - 1 , R \leq r < 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} R \\ 0 , r > 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} R \end{cases}$$

and
$$\phi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{N\alpha^2} \chi_{\alpha}(\vec{x})$$
 where $N_{\alpha} = \int \chi_{\alpha}^2(\vec{x}) d^2x$.

A straightforward calculation $^{(4)}$ gives

$$2\pi \left(\phi_{\alpha}, H_{0}, \phi_{\alpha}\right) = \int \left(\vec{\nabla}\phi_{\alpha}\right)^{2} d^{2}x \leq \frac{4\pi\alpha}{N\alpha}$$

$$2m \left| \left[V(x) d^2 x \right] \right|$$

.4.

and
$$(\phi_{\alpha}, \nabla \phi_{\alpha}) = \int \nabla(\mathbf{x}) d^2 \mathbf{x}$$
, thus if $\alpha < \frac{2m |\int \nabla(\mathbf{x}) d^2 \mathbf{x}|}{4\pi}$ then $(\phi_{\alpha}, (H_{O}+\nabla)\phi_{\alpha}) < 0$.

A simple limiting argument allows us to remove the assumption that $\forall \in C_0(\vec{R}^{\nu})$. In fact the reader can quickly verify that the above results hold under the following assumption (denoted A from now on). There exist R > 0 and I > 0 such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} V(\vec{x}) d^{\vee} x \ge -I & \text{for all } R' \ge R & (A) \\ |\vec{x}| \le R' \end{vmatrix}$$

Of course we have to add an extra assumption on V in order to guarantee that the continuum starts at zero energy. The technical requirement is that $V \in L^2(\vec{R}^V) + L^{\infty}_{c}(\vec{R}^V)$.

Let us now consider the N-body problem. Denoting by \vec{x}_i and m_i , i = 1, ..., N the particles coordinates and masses and introducing Jacobi coordinates⁽⁵⁾

 $\vec{\xi}_{i} = \vec{x}_{i+1} - \left(\sum_{j \leq i}^{N} m_{j}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j \geq i}^{N} m_{j} \vec{x}_{j}\right) \qquad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad .$

the Hamiltonian (after removal of the center of mass motion) reads:

$$H_{N} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2\mu_{i}} \Delta_{\xi_{i}} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij}(\vec{x}_{i} - \vec{x}_{j})$$

where $\mu_{i}^{-1} = m_{i+1}^{-1} + (\sum_{j \le i} m_{j})^{-1}$

that is

 $H_{N+1} = H_N - (2\nu_N)^{-1}\Delta_{\xi_n} + \sum_{i=1}^N V_{i,N+1}(\vec{x}_{N+1} - \vec{x}_i)$

where the hamiltonian H_{N} involves only the coordinates $\frac{\xi_{1}}{\xi_{N-1}}$.

Let now all $V_{ij}(\vec{x})$ verify assumption (A). Proceeding by induction, let E_N be the energy of the bound state of N particles (with E_N below the continuum threshold) and $\Phi_N(\vec{\xi}_1,\ldots,\vec{\xi}_{N-1})$ its wave function. Then consider

 $\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}_{1},\ldots,\vec{\xi}_{N-1},\vec{\xi}_{N}) = \Phi_{N}(\vec{\xi}_{1},\ldots,\vec{\xi}_{N-1}) \phi_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}_{N})$

where ϕ_{α} is the function given in (i) for $\nu=1$ and in (ii) for $\nu=2$.

It is clear that

$$(\psi_{\alpha}, H_{N+1}, \psi_{\alpha}) = E_{N} + (\phi_{\alpha}, (H_{O}+V)\phi_{O})$$

here $H_{O} = -\frac{\Delta \xi_{N}}{2\mu_{N}}$ and

$$\nabla(\vec{\xi}_{N}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \Phi_{N}(\vec{\xi}_{1}, \dots, \vec{\xi}_{N-1}) \right|^{2} \nabla_{i,N+1}(\vec{x}_{N+1} - \vec{x}_{1}) d^{\nu}\xi_{1} \dots d^{\nu}\xi_{N-1}$$

is the "effective" potential seen by the (N+1)th particle in the presence of the bound state of the other N particles. Now

 $\int \mathbf{V}(\vec{\xi}_{N}) d^{\nu}\xi_{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \mathbf{V}_{i,N+1}(\vec{x}) d^{\nu}x$

since $\dot{x}_{i,n+1} = \dot{\xi}_{N}$ + linear combination of $(\ddot{\xi}_{1}, \dots, \ddot{\xi}_{N-1})$ and $\int |\Phi_{N}|^{2} d^{\vee}\xi_{1} \dots d^{\vee}\xi_{N-1} = 1$. Therefore assumption (A) for the V_{ij} implies the validity of assumption (A) for V. Choosing then α sufficiently small (as in (i) and (ii)) we get

 $(\phi_\alpha,(H_O+V)\phi_\alpha)<0$ and so $(\psi_\alpha,(H_{N+1},\psi_\alpha)< E_N$, which concludes the proof.

Using the ideas and techniques described in ref. 3 we now prove the existence of bound states of N particle systems for v = 1 and 2, provided there exists a decomposition of the system into two disjoint clusters

$$C_1 = \{i_1, \dots, i_{N_1}\}, C_2 = \{j_1, \dots, j_{N_2}\}, N_1 + N_2 = N$$

both admitting bound states with energies E_{c_1} and E_{c_2} (below the respective continuum thresholds) such that the "intercluster" potential

$$V_{c_1c_2}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\substack{i \in C_1 \\ j \in C_2}} V_{ij}(\vec{x})$$

satisfies assumption (A), and such that the continuum spectrum of H_N starts at $E_{C_1} + E_{C_2}$. In fact

$$H_{N} = H_{C_{1}} + H_{C_{2}} + \left[-\frac{\Delta_{\xi}}{2\mu} + \sum_{\substack{i \in C_{1} \\ i \in C_{2}}} V_{ij}(\vec{x}_{i} - \vec{x}_{j}) \right]$$

where $\mu^{-1} = (\sum_{i \in C_1} m_i)^{-1} + (\sum_{j \in C_2} m_j)^{-1}$ and ξ denotes the position of the C.M. of C_2 with respect to the C.M. of C_1 . Taking

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}_{1},\ldots,\vec{x}_{N_{1}-1},\vec{y}_{1},\ldots,\vec{y}_{N_{2}-1},\vec{\xi}) &= \Phi_{C_{1}}(\vec{x}_{1},\ldots,\vec{x}_{N_{2}-1})\Phi_{C_{2}}(\vec{y}_{1},\ldots,\vec{y}_{N_{2}-1}) \Phi_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}) \\ \end{split}$$
where $\Phi_{C_{1}}$, i = 1, 2 are the wave functions of the bound states

.5.

of the cluster C, , we have as before

$$\{ \Psi_{\alpha}, H_{N} \Psi_{\alpha} \} = E_{C_{1}} + E_{2} + (\phi_{\alpha}, (H_{O} + V) \phi_{\alpha})$$

where $H_{O} = -\frac{\Delta_{\xi}}{2\mu}$ and

$$\mathbb{V}(\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{\substack{i \in C_1 \\ j \in C_2}} \left| \left| \Phi_{C_1}(\vec{x}_1, \ldots) \right| \left| \Phi_{C_2}(\vec{y}_1, \ldots) \right|^2 \left| V_{ij}(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{y}_j) \right| = 1 \\ \mathbb{V}_{i=1}^{N_2 - 1} d^{\nu} \xi_{i}^{(C_1)} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2 - 1} d^{\nu} \xi_{j}^{(C_2)} \right| = 1 \\ \mathbb{V}(\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{\substack{i \in C_1 \\ i \in C_2}} \left| \left| \Phi_{C_1}(\vec{x}_1, \ldots) \right| \right| \left| \Phi_{C_2}(\vec{y}_1, \ldots) \right|^2 \left| V_{ij}(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{y}_j) \right| = 1 \\ \mathbb{V}(\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{\substack{i \in C_1 \\ i = 1 \\ i =$$

(Here $\vec{\xi}_{i}^{c_{i}}$, $i = 1, \dots, N_{i-1}$ are the Jacobi coordinates for cluster C_{i}). Again $\int V(\vec{\xi}) d^{\nu}\xi = \sum_{\substack{i \in C_{1} \\ i \in C_{2}}} V_{ij}(\vec{\xi}) d^{\nu}\xi = \int V_{C_{1}C_{2}}(\vec{\xi}) d^{\nu}\xi$

and for α suficciently small

$$\{\psi_{\alpha}, H_{N}, \psi_{\alpha}\} < E_{C_1} + E_{C_2}$$

Since by hypothesis the continuum starts at

 $E_{C_1} + E_{C_2}$ the proof is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank profs. Y. Hama and W. Wreszinski for enlightening discussions.

REFERENCES

- M. Reed and B. Simon, <u>Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics</u>, Vol. IV, Academic Press (1978) N.Y.
- M. Schechter, <u>Operator Methods in Quantum Mechanics</u>, North-Holland (1981) Amsterdam.
- 3) B. Simon, Helv. Phys. Acta <u>43</u>, 607 (1970).
- 4) A. Klein, L.J. Landau and D. Schucker, J. Stat. Phys. <u>26</u>, 505 (1981).
- M. Reed and B. Simon, <u>Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics</u>, Vol. III, Academic Press (1979) N.Y.