UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA
CAIXA POSTAL 20516
01498 - SÃO PAULO - SP
BRASIL

PUBLICAÇÕES

IFUSP/P-516

THE COMPOUND NUCLEUS FLUCTUATION CROSS SECTION IN THE INTERMEDIATE COUPLING REGIME $\frac{\Gamma}{D} \sim 1$

by

M.S. Hussein
Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

Fevereiro/1985

THE COMPOUND NUCLEUS FLUCTUATION CROSS SECTION IN THE INTERMEDIATE COUPLING REGIME $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}} \sim 1$*

M.S. Hussein

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,
C.P. 20.516, São Paulo, S.P., Brazil

ABSTRACT

The compound nucleus fluctuation cross section in the intermediate absorption regime of $\overline{\Gamma}/\overline{D} \geq 1$ is discussed within the optical background representation of Kawai, Kerman and McVoy. A constraining inequality, involving $\pi\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}}$, a relevant parameter in the cross section formula, on the one hand, and other statistical parameters that appear in $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$, is derived and analyzed.

*Supported in part by the CNPq - Brasil.

January/1985

A major problem which still confronts the statistical theory of nuclear reactions mediated by the formation of a compound nucleus (CN), is the analytical evaluation of the averaged fluctuation cross section, $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$, in the intermediate coupling (absorption) situation of $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}} \sim 1$, with $\overline{D}$ the average nuclear level spacing and $\overline{\Gamma}$ the average nuclear decay width. Of course, $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$ has been extensively discussed in the past, with analytical results usually obtained in the domains $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}} >> 1$ and $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}} << 1$. Only numerical results based on Monte-Carlo calculations are available for $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}} \sim 1$ [1].

Recently, Weidenmüller and collaborators [2], have obtained an expression for, $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$, which seems to be valid for any value of $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}}$. The method they employed, supersymmetry averaging, enabled them, however, to derive a triple-integral representation of $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$. This makes a direct confrontation with the experimental data rather difficult. Analytical, albeit approximate, version of the results of Ref. 2 are certainly urgently called for.

On the other hand, several years ago Kerman and Sevgen [3], derived an expression for $\sigma_{cc'}^{f\ell}$, using the optical background representation of Kawai et al. (KKM) [4], which is also adequate in the intermediate coupling regime. Their formula, however, contains precisely the parameter $\frac{\overline{\Gamma}}{\overline{D}}$, which is not manifestly directly related to the optical transmission matrix. This features renders the Kerman-Sevgen cross section, model-dependent. A question naturally arises as to whether there is

any way of eliminating or, at least, reducing this model dependence.

It is the purpose of this Letter to supply a constraining relationship involving $\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ on the one hand, and other parameters that appear in $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'}$ on the other. This relation appears more generally in the form of an inequality

$$Re\,Tr\left(\underline{Y}\,\underline{\bar{S}}^{-1}\right) \leq \pi\,\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} \leq 2\,Re\,Tr\left(\underline{Y}\,\underline{\bar{S}}^{-1}\right) \qquad (1)$$

where $\underline{Y}$ is a matrix (in channel space) that appears in $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'}$ (see below) and $\bar{S}$ is the optical S-matrix. The lower limit, is attained under conditions of weak absorption and neutral channels, whereas the upper limit corresponds to strong absorption with strong Coulomb repulsion in the channels contained implicitly in the trace.

The starting point in our discussion is the optical background representation of the S-matrix element $S_{cc'}$ [3,4]

$$S_{cc'} = \bar{S}_{cc'} - i\sum_{\mu} \frac{g_{\mu c}\, g_{\mu c'}}{E-\varepsilon_{\mu}} \qquad (2)$$

where the complex resonance energies, ε_{μ}, are given by,

$$\varepsilon_{\mu} = E_{\mu} - i\,\Gamma_{\mu}/2 \qquad (3)$$

and the form factors, $g_{\mu c}$ are constructed in such a way as to

guarantee that the energy average of the sum-over-poles term in Eq. (2), the fluctuating S-matrix, $S^{f\ell}$, is identically zero [2,3].

Using the above property of the g,s together with general analytic unitarity arguments, Kerman and Sevger, were able to derive the following expression for $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'} \equiv \langle |S^{f\ell}_{cc'}|^2 \rangle$,

$$\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'} = X_{cc}X_{c'c'} + X_{cc'}X_{c'c} + \left(\frac{1}{\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}} - 2\right)|Y_{cc'}|^2 \qquad (4)$$

where X- and Y- matrices are defined by

$$X_{cc'} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\bar{\Gamma}\,\bar{D}}}\,\langle g^{*}_{\mu c}\, g_{\mu c'}\rangle_{\mu} \qquad (5)$$

$$Y_{cc'} = \frac{\pi}{\bar{D}}\,\langle g_{\mu c}\, g_{\mu c'}\rangle_{\mu} \qquad (6)$$

The optical transmission matrix, P, defined by

$$\underline{P} = 1 - \underline{\bar{S}}^{\dagger}\,\underline{\bar{S}} \qquad (7)$$

comes out to be [2],

$$\underline{P} = \underline{X}\,Tr\,\underline{X} + \underline{X}^2 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}}\right)\underline{Y}\,\underline{Y}^{\dagger} \qquad (8)$$

In the limit of large $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ (strong absorption), the g's acquire rapidly oscillating phases, which renders the Y-matrix

small, enabling thus the neglect of the last two terms in (4) and (5) and accordingly only X is required for the obtention of both P and $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'}$. One can thus eliminate X, by iteration, to obtain an expression for $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'}$ in terms of the elements cc' of P and its trace. The result, is a series expansion of $\sigma^{f\ell}_{cc'}$ is powers in $(\mathrm{Tr}\,P)^{-1}$. In the intermediate absorption case, $\frac{\pi\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} \sim 1$, which is considered here, both X and Y are important, requiring, at least, more constraining relation, besides the one supplied by unitarity. In the following, we present general arguments in favor of Eq. (1).

The expression for Γ_μ, Eq. (3), is easily obtained following KKM[3]

$$\Gamma_\mu = -2\,\mathrm{Im}\{\langle\tilde{\mu}|V_{QP}\,\mathcal{G}_P^{(+)}\,V_{PQ}|\mu\rangle\} \tag{9}$$

where $\langle\tilde{\mu}/\mu\rangle = 1$, and V_{QP} and V_{PQ} are coupling matrices amply discussed in Refs. 3 and 4. The Green function $\mathcal{G}_P^{(+)}$ operates in the open-channel subspace, P, ($Q = (1-P)$ and represents the compound nucleus subspace). Using now a spectral representation for $\mathcal{G}_P^{(+)}$, namely

$$\mathcal{G}_P^{(+)} = \sum_c \int dE' \frac{|\chi_c^{(+)}\rangle\langle\tilde{\chi}_c^{(+)}|}{E-E'+i\epsilon} \tag{10a}$$

$$= \sum_{cc'} \int dE' \frac{|\chi_c^{(+)}\rangle\,\bar{S}^{-1}_{cc'}\,\langle\tilde{\chi}_{c'}^{(-)}|}{E-E'+i\epsilon} \tag{10b}$$

where the relation (10b) is obtained from the condition $S_{cc'} = \langle\tilde{\chi}_{c'}^{(-)}|\,\chi_c^{(+)}\rangle$ and the completeness relation satisfied by the biorthogonal states $|\chi_c^{(+)}\rangle$ and $\langle\tilde{\chi}_c^{(+)}|$, namely $\sum_c \int dE\, |\chi_c(E)\rangle\langle\tilde{\chi}_c(E)| = 1$ we obtain for Γ_μ

$$\Gamma_\mu = -\frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\sum_{cc'}\int dE' \frac{g_{\mu c}(E')\,\bar{S}^{-1}_{cc'}(E')\,g_{\mu c}(E')}{E-E'+i\epsilon} \tag{11}$$

In Eq. (11), we have used the KKM definition of $g_{\mu c}$

$$g_{\mu c} = \sqrt{2\pi}\langle\tilde{\mu}|V_{QP}|\chi_c^{(+)}\rangle = \sqrt{2\pi}\langle\tilde{\chi}_c^{(-)}|V_{PQ}|\mu\rangle \tag{12}$$

To obtain $\bar{\Gamma}$, by averaging Eq. (12) over the compound states μ, getting, with the defining equation of the Y-matrix, Eq. (6), the following

$$\frac{\pi\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} = -\frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\int dE' \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\underline{\underline{Y}}(E')\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}(E')]}{E-E'+i\epsilon} \tag{13}$$

Equation (13) can also be written as a delta-part contribution plus a principal integral, vis,

$$\frac{\pi\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} = \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\underline{\underline{Y}}\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}\right) - \frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\left\{P\int dE' \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\underline{\underline{Y}}(E')\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}(E')]}{E-E'}\right\} \tag{14}$$

To proceed further, we have to have a picture of the energy variation of $\mathrm{Tr}(Y\bar{S}^{-1})$. Let us, for the moment, consider one element of the trace and $\bar{S}^{-1}$ to be diagonal. At this point, I remind the reader that all the formulae that have been discussed so far refer to one particular partial wave, ℓ. I take for $\bar{S}_\ell(E') = \{1 + exp[(-1 + \bar{\ell}(E'))/\Delta(E')]\}^{-1} exp(i\,\delta_\ell(E'))$

Therefore $\bar{S}_\ell^{-1}(E') = \{1 + exp[(-1 + \bar{\ell}(E'))/\Delta(E')]\}\, exp(-i\,\delta_\ell(E'))$

which I write in the following form

$$\bar{S}_\ell^{-1}(E') = e^{-i\delta_\ell(E')} + e^{-\xi_\ell(E') - i\delta_\ell(E')} \tag{15}$$

I further write a similar representation for the diagonal elements of the Y-matrix,

$$Y_{cc}(E') = e^{-y_\ell(E') + i\eta_\ell(E')} \tag{16}$$

Expanding the δ_ℓ and η_ℓ to first order in the off-shell energy difference $E'-E \equiv z$ and the $\xi_\ell(E')$ and $y_\ell(E')$ to second order, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\ell &\simeq \delta_\ell^{(0)} + \delta_\ell^{(1)} z \\ \eta_\ell &\simeq \eta_\ell^{(0)} + \eta_\ell^{(1)} z \\ \xi_\ell &\simeq \xi_\ell^{(0)} + \xi_\ell^{(1)} z + \xi_\ell^{(2)} z^2 \\ y_\ell &\simeq y_\ell^{(0)} + y_\ell^{(1)} z + y_\ell^{(2)} z^2 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

enables us to calculate the principal value integral for each of the diagonal terms in $\mathrm{Tr}Y\bar{S}^{-1}$ straightforwardly. We feel justified in using (17), since both Y and $\bar{S}^{-1}$ are energy-averaged (or ensemble averaged) matrices, and therefore their elements are expected to vary smoothly with E. Since $\bar{S}_\ell^{-1}(E)$ is composed of two distinct terms, Eq. (15), it is convenient to write $Y\bar{S}^{-1} = (Y\bar{S}^{-1})_1 + (Y\bar{S}^{-1})_2$, with the first term associated with $e^{i\delta}$ and the second with $e^{i\delta-\xi}$.

The final expression I obtain for the principal value integral of Eq. (14), is the following

$$P\int dE' \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(\underline{\underline{Y}}(E')\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}(E')\right)}{E-E'} = -i\pi\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathrm{erf}(z_1)\left(\underline{\underline{Y}}\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}\right)_1 + \mathrm{erf}(z_2)\left(\underline{\underline{Y}}\,\underline{\underline{\bar{S}}}^{-1}\right)_2\right] \tag{18}$$

where

$$z_i = \frac{\eta^{(1)} - \delta^{(1)} + 2i\left(y^{(2)} + \xi^{(2)}\right)t_i}{2\sqrt{y^{(2)} + \xi^{(2)}}} \tag{19}$$

$$t_1 = \frac{y^{(1)}}{2\left(y^{(2)} + \xi^{(2)}\right)} \quad , \quad t_2 = \frac{y^{(1)} + \xi^{(1)}}{2\left(y^{(2)} + \xi^{(2)}\right)} \tag{20}$$

and $\mathrm{erf}(z_i)$ is the error function[5]

$$\mathrm{erf}(z_i) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{z_i} e^{-x^2} dx \tag{21}$$

Of course, all quantities appearing inside the square brackets in Eq. (18) refer to a given partial wave and a given diagonal element of $Y\bar{S}^{-1}$.

Clearly, when both Z_1 and Z_2 become very large, $\mathrm{erf}(Z_i)$ tends to unity and the two terms in Eq. (18) combine to give for the RHS $-i\pi\,\mathrm{Tr}(Y\bar{S}^{-1})$, exactly the same as the delta function contribution. Under these conditions, Eq. (14) gives $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} = 2\,\mathrm{Re\,Tr}(Y\bar{S}^{-1})$. In the opposite limit, Z_1 and $Z_2 \to 0$, we obtain $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} = \mathrm{Re\,Tr}\,(Y\bar{S}^{-1})$. For intermediate (and necessarily realistic) values of Z_1 and Z_2 for the different channels, $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ could take any value within the above two extremes. Thus the inequality, Eq. (1).

The critical parameter that decides upon the values of the Z_i is $\delta^{(1)}/\sqrt{\xi^{(2)}+\gamma^{(2)}}$ (Eq. (19)). When this quantity becomes large and negative, we obtain large positive values for the Z_i's. This happens if the elastic scattering, described by $\bar{S}$, in a given channel, is dominated by strong Coulomb repulsion, which renders $\delta^{(1)} = \frac{d\delta}{dE}$, negative, and the diagonal element of Y have very slow variations with energy (within our parametrization, Eq. (17), such a variation is described by a very wide Gaussian) exemplified by small $\gamma^{(2)}+\xi^{(2)}$. In general it is expected that, owing to the Coulomb barrier inhibition of charged particle decay channels with strong Coulomb repulsion (heavy fragments channels), the upper limit is more likely to be attained through the smallness of $\gamma^{(2)}+\xi^{(2)}$.

In a recent article, Dagdeviren and Kerman[6], have found, within a schematic reaction model (with no Coulomb interaction), that $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ is close to the value $\mathrm{Re\,Tr}\,(Y\bar{S}^{-1})$ in a wide range of values of the transmission coefficient (up to 0.8). We feel that in more realistic situations $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ becomes larger than $\mathrm{Re\,Tr}\,Y\bar{S}^{-1}$ (limited by the upper value $2\,\mathrm{Re\,Tr}\,Y\bar{S}^{-1}$) even at intermediate values of the transmission (~ 0.5). Thus a more careful application of Eq. (1) is necessary in order to pin down the required constraining relation among the parameters of $c^{f\ell}_{cc'}$.

In conclusion, we have discussed in this Letter the compound nucleus fluctuation cross section in the intermediate absorption regime of $\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} \sim 1$, using the optical background representation of KKM. A constraining inequality involving $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ on the one hand, and $\mathrm{Re\,Tr}\,Y\bar{S}^{-1}$ on the other hand is obtained. Since both $\pi\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}}$ and the elements of Y are important parameters of the cross section in the $\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{\bar{D}} \sim 1$ case, the derived inequality should be useful in eliminating some of the model dependence, and in supplying useful criterion for realistic model calculation and subsequent confrontation with the data.

REFERENCES

1) C. Mahaux and H.A. Weidenmüller, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 29, [illegible] (1979).

2) J.J.M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller and M.R. Zirnbauer, MPIH-1984-V20. See also, J.J.M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller and M.R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1597 (1984); H.A. Weidenmüller, MPIH-1984-V10, Ann. Phys. (NY) (in press).

3) A.K. Kerman and A. Sevgen, Ann. Phys. (NY) 102, 570 (1976).

4) M. Kawai, A.K. Kerman and K.W. McVoy, Ann. Phys. (NY) 75, 156 (1973).

5) M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover, New York) 1970.

6) N.R. Dagdeviren and A.K. Kerman, MIT CTP # 1196 (1984), submitted to Ann. Phys.